

Journal of Experimental Agriculture International 15(1): 1-11, 2017; Article no.JEAI.29773 Previously known as American Journal of Experimental Agriculture ISSN: 2231-0606

Optimum Levels of Sulphur and Zinc for Rice in Lowland Areas of Kilombero District, Tanzania

A. M. Kalala1,2*, J. M. R. Semoka¹ and N. A. Amuri¹

 1 Department of Soil and Geological Sciences, Sokoine University of Agriculture, P.O.Box 3008, Tanzania. ²Tumbi Agricultural Research Institute (ARI- Tumbi), P.O.Box 306, Tabora, Tanzania.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author AMK designed the study, managed the field experiment, wrote the protocol, wrote the first draft of the manuscript and managed the literature searches and all laboratory analyses. Authors NAA and JMRS involved in site selection, edited the data, reviewed and edited the protocol and manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2017/29773 Editor(s): (1) Rusu Teodor, Department of Technical and Soil Sciences, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Romania. Reviewers: (1) M. M. Buri, CSIR – Soil Research Institute, Ghana. (2) Yang Yu, China Institute of Water Resource and Hydropower Research, China. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/17473

Original Research Article

Received 28th September 2016 Accepted 4th December 2016 Published 9th January 2017

ABSTRACT

Two on-farm experiments were carried out from January to May of 2014 and 2015 at Mkula, Mbasa and Kisawasawa sites to establish optimum rates of sulphur (S) and zinc (Zn) for rice production in Kilombero district, Tanzania. The treatments tested were: an absolute control and a control for N in both of the experiments. The other treatments for S experiment were a control for S, and sulphur rates of either 10 or 20 kg S ha⁻¹. The second experiment testing Zn had treatments: a control for Zn, Zn rates of either 2.5 or 5.0 kg ha⁻¹. All treatments other than the absolute control received all other limiting nutrients at adequate rates. The test crop was rice variety SARO-5. The results indicated that S application increased grain yield (GY) by 1.8, 2.8 and 1.8 t ha⁻¹ at Mbasa, Mkula and Kisawasawa, respectively. The shoot S concentration increased from 0.13 to 0.29; 0.13 to 0.24 and 0.16 to 0.22% at Mbasa, Mkula and Kisawasawa, respectively. Zinc application increased yield significantly only at Mbasa site by 2.8 t ha⁻¹ and shoot-Zn concentration from 11.5 to 27.0 mg kg⁻¹ .

The optimum rate of sulphur at Mbasa and Kisawasawa was 10 kg S ha⁻¹ and 20 kg ha⁻¹ at Mkula site. For zinc, the optimum rate at Mbasa site was 2.5 kg Zn ha^{-1} . It was concluded that sulphur application was needed in all the test sites to optimize yield while Zn was needed only at one site (Mbasa).

Keywords: Optimum rates; field experiment; nutrient responses.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Tanzania, rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the second widely cultivated cereal as staple and cash crop after maize. It is a major source of income, food and employment across the country, and particularly rural areas of Kilombero Valley in Tanzania. However, rice productivity is low and it is continuously declining in Tanzania. Many research works mentioned rice productivity to be as low as 1.0 t ha $^{-1}$ under lowlands - rainfed [1,2]. Such yields are far below the potential of SARO-5 improved rice variety, which has recorded yields of more than 6.5 t ha⁻¹ in experimental plots of fertilizer trials [3,4].

Soil is the main source of plant nutrients and supplies at least 14 mineral nutrients to plants, some of which are sulphur and zinc [5]. Nutrient balance is very important in rice production and blanket use of fertilizer adversely affects its production. Nutrients in soil whether naturally endowed or artificially maintained are major determinants of success or failure of a crop production system [6]. Declining soil and crop productivity is a major problem facing smallholder farmers in Kilombero valley, Tanzania [4,7] and this is caused by continuous cropping without addition of adequate external soil fertility inputs [1,8]. Recent studies have indicated that sulphur deficiency is a major problem covering more than 80% of soils in Kilombero valley [4,9]. A recent screen house study [9] demonstrated that rice grown in soils containing extractable S concentrations <10 mg $kg⁻¹$ responded to S application, which requires confirmation under field conditions by establishing optimum levels of S in rice fields of Kilombero valley. Previously, other researchers reported critical values or ranges for S. Citing few, [10] reported an S soil critical range of 6-12 mg kg^{-1} in soils for most crops. Author [11] reported S critical value of < 5 mg kg⁻¹ using 0.05 M HCl extractant while [12] using different extractants reported critical levels of 9.7 and 17.8 mg kg $^{-1}$ for CaCl₂ and NaHCO₃, respectively.

Several studies have documented effects of S application on rice tissue concentration and grain yields. Very recently, [9] reported that application of all other limiting nutrients except S in soils with less than 10 mg $kg⁻¹$ led to low levels of S in rice shoots ranging from 0.12 to 0.16% and low grain yields. This might be attributed to the fact that S is a component of enzymes, proteins and vitamins essential for protein synthesis and about 90% of plant S is present as a constituent of the amino acids [13]. Consequently, S enhances nitrogen utilization and chlorophyll formation as a result affects plant vigor, nutrient concentration and grain yields. [14] reported that application of 30 kg S ha^{-1} increased rice yield significantly over the control in S deficient soil in India. [6] reported that application of S and other limiting nutrients increased rice yield by 35.16% above the control, indicating that inclusion of S was beneficial in rice production.

Zinc is an important micronutrient for rice production because it is required in a large number of enzymes and plays an essential role in DNA transcription. In addition, Zn is either a metal constituent in many enzymes or is a functional co-factor of a number of enzyme reactions [5,11]. It has previously been observed [15] that $ZnSO_4$ application at a rate of 6 mg Zn $kg⁻¹$ soil increased grain yield by 41% over the control when applied in a soil with available Zn of 0.9 mg kg^{-1} . In the same study Zn application increased significantly rice shoot Zn concentration from 7.09 mg kg^{-1} (rated as low) to 18.84 mg kg^{-1} (rated as sufficient). In the same way, [16] reported a significant increase in grain yield after application of 5 mg kg^{-1} in a pot experiment using a soil with 0.86 mg kg⁻¹. Likewise, [6] reported an increase in Zn concentration in rice shoots from 27.0 in the control to 40.0 mg kg^{-1} after supplementing Zn on top of other necessary nutrients.

Earlier studies by [4,9] reported zinc deficient soils in Kilombero to constitute about 30% of the tested soils indicating that Zn deficiency is emerging as a problem for high rice yields. Only four percent of farmers in Kilombero district use inorganic fertilizers [1] supplying only N and P yet about 75% of farmers produce the high yielding improved variety TXD 306 (SARO -5) with a high

demand of nutrients [7]. Therefore, inclusion of S and Zn fertilizers is likely to improve rice productivity. However, optimum rates of S and Zn in rice fields of Kilombero have not been established. Therefore, the objectives of the study were (i) To determine the response of rice to S and Zn in the cultivated rice fields in Kilombero valley (ii) To establish optimum rates of S and Zn for rice in Kilombero valley.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 General Description of the Study Area

The study area comprised three sites namely: Mkula, Kisawasawa and Mbasa located in Kilombero district, Tanzania. The study was carried out in the three sites during two different seasons: January to May of 2014 and 2015. The sites elevation ranged between 266 and 318 meters above sea level. The specific coordinates of the sites are given in Table 1.

During the growing seasons, the temperature ranged between 29.0 and 33.8°C. Total annual rainfall recorded 1138.1 mm in season one and 995.3 mm in season two with monthly rainfall peaks in March and April according to Kilombero Sugar Weather Station. Comparable rainfall and temperature data (rainfall between 1200 and 1400 mm falling between December to June and annual temperature ranges between 26 and 32°C in Kilombero valley) have been reported by [8].

2.2 Soil Sampling and Sample Preparation

Soil samples were collected at a depth of $0 - 20$ cm from representative sites in important rice producing areas of Kilombero district. In each site a minimum of 10 sub-samples using an auger were collected randomly in a relatively uniform area of about 0.5 ha. The soil subsamples from each site were mixed thoroughly to constitute a representative composite sample, which was sent to SUA for laboratory analysis.

2.3 Soil Analysis

Soil pH was analyzed (1: 2.5 soil: water suspension) by using a pH meter [17], extractable P was determined according to the Bray 1 method [18] and colour development by phospho-molybdate blue method [19].

Exchangeable cations (Ca, Mg, K and Na) were determined from ammonium acetate (NH4Oac) leachate [20]. Zinc was determined by Diethylene-triamine-pentaacetic-acid (DTPA) method [21] and its concentration in the filtrate was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry using appropriate standards. Extractable sulphur was extracted by calcium orthophosphate and determined by BaCl₂ turbidity method [22].

2.4 Management of Field Experiment and Data Collection

2.4.1 Experimental design

Two field experiments were carried out at three sites namely, Mkula, Mbasa and Kisawasawa for 120 days. At Mkula site, one acre was demarcated after soil analysis, where half of it was used in the first season (January to June 2014). The remaining (adjacent) part was used in the second season (January to June 2015). These fields are hereafter referred to as Mkula A and B. Mbasa site was used only during season one (December to June 2014) while Kisawasawa was used during the second season (January to June 2015).

The first experiment was carried out to assess rice response to sulphur and the second was to assess rice response to zinc. The treatments tested in the first experiment were: i) an absolute control, where no fertilizer was applied (T1), ii) a control for N but containing S and Zn (T2), iii) a control for S but containing adequate N and Zn (T3), iv) adequate levels of N and Zn plus a sulphur rate of 10 kg S ha $^{-1}$ (T4) and v) adequate levels of N and Zn plus an S rate of 20 kg S ha-1 (T5). These treatments were designated as: T1= $N_0S_0Zn_0$, T2= $N_0S_{20}Zn_5$, T3= $N_{100}S_0Zn_5$, T4= $N_{100}S_{10}Zn_{5}$, and T5= $N_{100}S_{20}Zn_{5}$. In the second experiment comparable treatments were used but the nutrient under test was Zn and three rates of 0, 2.5 and 5.0 kg Zn ha^{-1} were applied while N and P were applied at adequate rates of 100 and 20 kg ha^{-1} , respectively. The five treatments were designated as $T1 = N_0S_0Zn_0$, T2= $N_0S_{20}Zn_5$, T3= $N_{100}S_{20}Zn_{0}$, T4= $N_{100}S_{20}Zn_{2.5}$ and T5= $N_{100}S_{20}$ Zn₅. The subscript number on each element indicates nutrient rates applied in kg ha⁻¹. Other necessary nutrients (i.e. P, K, Mg and Ca) were applied to all treatments except the absolute control, to avoid untargeted nutrients from limiting the response of rice to S and Zn as

per soil test results obtained. The experimental units were arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates. Potassium was applied as KCl, phosphorus as triple super phosphate (TSP), zinc as ZnSO4, calcium as $CaSO₄$ in the Zn experiment or $CaCl₂$ in the S experiment, and magnesium as $MgSO₄$ in the Zn experiment or $MgCl₂$ in the S experiment. All nutrients were applied at planting except N, for which 60% was applied at 21 days after transplanting/ or sowing and 40% was applied 28 days after the first N application making a total rate of 100 kg N ha $^{-1}$ applied as urea. Fertilizers were broadcasted and thoroughly mixed into the soil using hand hoes. Urea was broadcasted uniformly on moist soil in the appropriate plots.

Land ploughing, harrowing and levelling was done using hand hoe twenty days before planting. Bunds were used to demarcate the blocks and plots and to minimize water movement from one plot to another. Each specific treatment was allocated within a plot making five plots within a block. The space between blocks was 1 m while the distance between the plots was 0.5 m and the plot area was 12 m².

Different sowing systems were used: direct sowing at Mbasa and Kisawasawa while raising seedlings in a nursery followed by transplanting was done at Mkula. The spacing was maintained as 0.2 m x 0.2 m for both direct sowing and transplanting. Direct sowing was done by sowing four to five seeds per hill and seedlings were thinned to two plants per hill 14 days after sowing (DAS). The nursery at Mkula was maintained for 18 days before transplanting seedlings to experimental plots. Two water management scenarios were used namely: sole dependence on rainfall used at Mbasa and Kisawasawa while at Mkula rainfall was supplemented with irrigation to maintain flooding. Flooding was done at 18 DAS or immediately after transplanting and was continually maintained up to 10 days before rice harvesting. A pesticide, Blast 60 EC (active ingredients Acetamiprid + Lambdacyhalothrin) was used once at 35 days after sowing (DAS) to control white flies and other pests.

2.4.2 Rice plant sampling, sample preparations and analysis

Rice plant samples were collected at booting stage (75 DAS). Three hills from the mid two rows in each plot were selected randomly and cut at 1 cm above the ground. The shoots were cleaned with distilled water, and then dried at 70° to attain constant weight. The dried shoots were weighed, ground with a cyclone mill and sieved through a 1-mm sieve for plant analysis. The remaining plants in the field were grown to maturity.

The plant samples were digested in a digestion block at 125 $^{\circ}$ C using the HNO₃-H₂O₂ wet digestion procedure [23]. Sulfate-S in the digest was determined by the $BaCl₂$ turbidity method using a spectrophotometer [23]. While in the same digest, Zn was determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy [23].

2.4.3 Harvesting of rice and grain yield determination

The grain yield (GY) was obtained at maturity (120 days after sowing) by harvesting panicles. One border row in each side (20 cm) was not harvested and only panicles of inner rows were harvested making a harvest area of 9.36 m^2 . The harvested rice was then threshed, sun dried to achieve moisture content of about 14% and winnowed to remove unfilled grains before weighing. The GY was expressed in t ha $^{-1}$.

2.5 Statistical Data Analysis

All the data collected, including grain yield (GY) and nutrients concentration in rice shoots in response to S and Zn were subjected to analysis of variance using Gen-Stat Discovery Edition 15. Means were compared by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at $P = 0.05$. The coefficient of variation (CV) in percent and least significant difference (LSD) were reported.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Soil Properties of the Study Sites

Soil characteristics of the three sites used in this study are given in Table 1. The pH ranged between 4.5 and 5.9 for the three sites was found to be medium at Kisawasawa and low at Mbasa and Mkula sites. Soil pH was rated according to $[10]$: < 5.5 as low and 5.5 to 7.0 as medium. Potassium concentration in soil ranged between 0.18 and 0.26 (cmol $(+)$ kg⁻¹ which fall in

the low to medium ranges according to [10]: i.e. $<$ 0.2 (cmol (+) kg⁻¹ as low K and from 0.2 to 0.4 (cmol $(+)$ kg⁻¹ as medium. All the three study sites had low total N (i.e. $0.11 - 0.16$ %). According to [11], total nitrogen (TN) is rated as \leq 0.1% very low, 0.1 to 0.2% as low, while 0.2 to 0.5% is rated as medium. [4] reported that nine out of 20 sites surveyed in Kilombero district had ≤ 0.2% total N, which indicates that N is a problem in most of the fields in the current study area. Extractable S ranged from 1.3 to 4.5 mg kg^{-1} . [11] reported sulphur critical range to be 6 to 12 mg kg^{-1} below which response to S fertilizers is expected and vice versa. Recently, [9] established 10 mg kg^{-1} as the critical concentration for S in soils of Kilombero district. Soil Zn concentration ranged between 0.5 to 2.6 mg $kg⁻¹$ which falls in the low to high ranges according to [9,10,24].

3.2 Response of Rice to S under Field Conditions in Kilombero Valley

Grain yield and shoot S concentrations recorded at Mkula A and B sites are presented in Table 2. Grain yield at Mkula A site ranged between 2.83 and 7.27 t ha⁻¹; the lowest yield from absolute control treatment and the highest was from T5. The grain yields at Mkula A site in treatments T2 and T3 were comparable. Application of N, P, K and Zn while excluding S (T3) increased yield by 2.64 t ha⁻¹ over the absolute control. A similar trend was observed at Mkula B site whereby treatment T3 gave significantly higher GY than T1 and the difference was 3.16 t ha⁻¹. Grain yield at 20 kg S ha⁻¹, (T5) was significantly higher than that at 10 kg S ha⁻¹ ha at Mkula A site, but at Mkula B site yield at 20 kg S ha^{-1} was comparable to that at 10 kg S ha^{-1} . Grain yield difference between the S control treatment (T3) and the 20 kg S ha $^{-1}$ treatment (T5), was 1.8 and 1.14 t ha⁻¹ for Mkula A site and Mkula B site, respectively indicating that application of S was advantageous for rice production. Application of S at 20 kg ha⁻¹ (T5) in addition to N, P, K and Zn increased GY by more than 4 t ha 1 over the absolute control (T1) at both sites indicating that farmers who do not apply all the deficient nutrients in their fields sacrifice a substantial GY.

Concentration of S in rice shoots was affected by application of S at Mkula A and B sites (Table 2). The treatments which did not receive S (T1 and T3) had low shoot S concentration compared to those that received S (T2, T4 and T5). Concentration of S in shoots increased with increasing S application and ranged from 0.22 to 0.29% for the treatments (T2, T4 and T5) which received S. The treatments without S (i.e. T1 and T3) had low shoot S concentration ranging between 0.14 and 0.15% indicating that application of S was important in increasing S concentration in plants.

The GY and shoot S concentration data recorded at Mbasa and Kisawasawa sites are presented in Table 3. Application of S at Mbasa site increased rice GY significantly from 4.57 t ha⁻¹ to 7.39 t ha⁻¹ for the S control treatment (T3) and T5, respectively. The two S rates tested, i.e. 10 and 20 kg S ha $^{-1}$, produced comparable grain yields. Application of other nutrients without N (T2) produced significantly higher yield than the absolute control (T1) treatment. However, the highest yield was obtained when N and S plus other nutrients were applied together (T5). Application of S either at 10 or at 20 kg ha⁻¹ (T4 and T5) in addition to N, P, K and Zn produced 2.5 t ha $^{-1}$ more GY than the S control (T3) treatment, indicating that S application had a big impact in increasing rice productivity at Mbasa site.

Grain yield at Kisawasawa was influenced by S application and the rates of 10 and 20 kg S ha⁻¹ had comparable grain yields. Exclusion of N while applying S (T2) did not increase yield significantly and the treatments recorded very low GY compared to other treatments. This indicated that N was the most limiting nutrient in this site and that it has to be corrected first before response to other limiting nutrients can occur. The trend of rice yield response to S application at Kisawasawa was comparable to that at the other sites (Mkula and Mbasa). Application of S either at 10 or at 20 kg S ha (T4 and T5) increased rice grain yield by more than 1.5 t ha⁻¹ demonstrating the importance of S in increasing GY.

Concentration of S in rice shoots at Mbasa site ranged between 0.13 and 0.24% and was low in treatments that did not receive S (T3) and the absolute control (T1). The S concentration increased with increasing S application and the highest S concentration (0.24%) in T5 was significantly higher than 0.13% in the S control treatment (T3).

The response of rice to S (T4 and T5) was expected since the soil at all sites had S concentration lower than the critical level of

10 mg kg^{-1} established by [9] for Kilombero soils. Similarly, [11] reported that for soils with S levels below 5 mg $kg⁻¹$ using 0.05 M HCl extractant, S application would increase GY and yields attributes. Equally, [14] reported the highest grain yield when S was applied at the rate of 30 kg ha⁻¹ in a soil with 7.9 kg S ha⁻¹ (equal to 2.8 mg kg⁻¹) supporting the highest increases in GY in treatments T4 and T5 in all the three sites. At all sites, high values of S in rice shoots occurred in treatments T4 and T5 (i.e. 10 and 20 kg S ha⁻¹) which also produced high GY compared to other treatments, indicating that application of S had positive effects on increasing both rice GY and S concentration in plants.

However, T1 and T2 treatments recorded concentrations lower than the established critical concentration/ range, indicating that low shoot S concentration is associated with low supply of S in soil. Recently, [4] found shoot-S concentration below 0.1% in all the treatments without S in experiments conducted at Mbasa, Kilombero district with soil sulphur level of 0.22 mg kg^{-1} . The results for all the sites are in conformity with other researchers [6,14,25,26,27,28] who reported that S application increased yield components of rice and GY. From the overall results of Mbasa and Kisawasawa sites, it is apparent that sulphur plays an important role in determining the yield and S concentration in rice shoots and that T4 and T5 treatments with 10 and 20 kg S ha^{-1} performed equally well. Therefore, an application of 10 kg S ha⁻¹ is recommended for optimum yields of rice at the two sites. However, application of S at 20 kg S ha⁻¹ was found to be optimum at Mkula site. From the above discussion, it was concluded that the four sites had low levels of S in soils. Application of S increased rice grain yield and shoot S concentration significantly.

3.3 Response of Rice to Zn under Field Conditions in Kilombero Valley

Zinc concentration in rice shoots and GY for Mkula A and B sites is presented in Table 4. At both sites, application of Zn at either 2.5 or 5.0 ha $^{-1}$ (T4 and T5) did not increase grain yield significantly. Similarly, Zn application did not increase shoot Zn concentration significantly. Similar results were found at Kisawasawa site (Table 5). These results indicated that the soils at Mkula and Kisawasawa sites had enough Zn for rice growth and yield.

The results of GY and shoot Zn concentration recorded at Mbasa site are presented in Table 5. Zinc application at either 2.5 or 5 kg Zn ha^{-1} with all other necessary nutrients (T4 and T5) increased yield significantly above the Zn control but yields of T4 and T5 were comparable. There was a grain yield difference of about 2.8 t ha⁻¹ when 5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ was applied over the Zn control treatment (T3) indicating that the use of Zn was very crucial in increasing rice yields.

The concentration of Zn in rice shoots at Mbasa increased significantly with increase in Zn rates. The lowest shoot-Zn concentration was recorded in the treatments without Zn (T1 and T3) and the values were below the reported critical concentration.

On the other hand, the entire range of shoot Zn concentration values obtained at Mkula and Kisawasawa sites fell in a narrow range of 23.6 - 35.5 mg kg^{-1} which falls in the sufficiency range of $18-50$ mg kg^{-1} reported by $[9,11,29]$. The results are consistent with those of [9,11,14] who reported that soils with sufficient levels of Zn do not to respond to Zn application. It evident from this study that Kisawasawa and Mkula soils with Zn levels above 1.4 mg $kg⁻¹$ do not need Zn application at present.

The increase in rice GY at Mbasa site was expected as the level of Zn in soil was low (Table 1). Similar results were reported by [6] in which application of Zn on top of N, P, K, and S increased GY significantly by about 5.5% compared to zinc control treatment. Other workers have also reported comparable results. For example, [30] found significant increase in rice grain yield at three sites in Tabora district, Tanzania in soils with zinc levels < 0.64 mg kg after applying 20 and 10 kg of $ZnSO₄$ and ZnO , respectively. Likewise, [16] reported 86% increase in grain yield after application of 5 mg Zn $kg⁻¹$ soil in a pot experiment using a soil with 0.86 mg kg⁻¹. [12] reported that ZnSO4 application at a rate of 6 mg Zn kg^{-1} soil applied to a soil with DTPA available Zn of 0.9 mg kg^{-1} increased grain yield by 41%. Overall, it is evident from the above discussion that the three sites (Mkula A and B and Kisawasawa) had sufficient Zn supply at present and only Mbasa site had deficient Zn in soil. Application of 2.5 kg Zn ha^{-1} was found to be the optimum rate for Mbasa site.

Table 1. Selected soil chemical properties of the study sites

Table 2. Effect of sulphur application on rice grain yield and shoot-S concentration at Mkula A and B sites

Means in the same column bearing the same letter(s) are not significantly different at P=0.05; CV = Coefficient of variations. LSD = Least significant difference; Treatment rates abbreviations with subscript numbers indicate the nutrient rates applied in kg ha⁻¹.

"T" followed by a number indicates the treatment number

Table 3. Effect of sulphur application on rice grain yield and shoot S concentration at Mbasa and Kisawasawa sites

Means in the same column bearing the same letter(s) are not significantly different at P=0.05; CV = Coefficient of variations. LSD = Least significant difference; Treatment rates abbreviations with subscript numbers indicate the nutrient rates applied in kg/ ha.

"T" followed by a number indicates the treatment number

T**able 4. Effect of zinc application on rice grain yield and shoot Zn concentration, at Mkula A and B sites**

Means in the same column bearing the same letter(s) are not significantly different at P=0.05; CV = Coefficient of variations. LSD = Least significant difference; Treatment rates abbreviations with subscript numbers indicate the nutrient rates applied in kg/ ha.

"T" followed by a number indicates the treatment number

Table 5. Effect of zinc application on rice grain yield and shoot Zn concentration at Mbasa and Kisawasawa sites

Means in the same column bearing the same letter(s) are not significantly different at P=0.05; CV = Coefficient of variations. LSD = Least significant difference;

Treatment rates abbreviations with subscript numbers indicate the nutrient rates applied in kg/ ha. "T" followed by a number indicates the treatment number

4. CONCLUSIONS

We conclude that all soils in rice fields under this study have low sulphur status while zinc deficiency was found only at one site indicating their deficient intensity in Kilombero district. The need for S application is inevitable although its good response is determined not only by soil test S but also sufficiency of other essential nutrients in the soil. There was also significant response of rice to Zn application in the soil that was deficient in Zn, indicating the need for soil testing for Zn to quide its application. Application of 10 kg S ha⁻¹ was recommended as optimum rate for Mbasa and Kisawasawa while 20 kg S ha^{-1} was recommended for Mkula site. The optimum rate of Zn application is 2.5 kg Zn ha^{-1} , for Zn deficient soils like Mbasa site in Kilombero district.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We wish to acknowledge Alliance for Green Revolution in Africa (AGRA) through SUA-AGRA Soil Health program for funding this research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kilima FTM. Scaling up Minjingu phosphate utilization for balanced fertilization of crops in Tanzania. Participatory socio-economic and agronomic baseline survey report. Sokoine University of Agriculture, Morogoro. 2011; 51.
- 2. Mghase JJ. Agronomic and socialeconomic constraints to high yield of upland rice in Tanzania. Afri. J. Agric. Res. 2010;5(2):150-158.
- 3. Amuri N, Semoka JMR, Ikerra S, Kulaya I, Msuya-Bengesi CP. Enhancing use of phosphorus fertilizers for maize and rice production in small scale farming in Eastern and Northern zones, Tanzania. A Paper presented at the 27th Soil Sc Soc East Afr -6th Afr Soil Sci Soc Conference, 21st to 25th October 2013, Nakuru, Kenya. 2013;12l.
- 4. Gharibu FN. Effectiveness of Minjingu Mazao as a source of phosphorus, zinc and copper in rice production in Kilombero

District- Morogoro, Tanzania. Dissertation for Award of MSc. Soil Science and Land Management of Sokoine University of Agriculture Morogoro, Tanzania. 2014;87.

- 5. Brady NC, Weil RR. The nature and properties of soils. 14^{th} Ed. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall; 2008.
- 6. Trivedi VK, Pandey MR, Pathak RK, Kala DC. Balanced use of nutrients for high crop yield and quality of rice in central Uttar Pradesh. Inter. J. Tech. Res. Applic. 2015; 3(4):344-346.
- 7. Sabugo RT. Adaptation strategies by smallholder rice farmers under the influence of climate change: A case of Kilombero Basin, Tanzania. Dissertation for Award of Degree of Master of Art in Rural Dev. Degree at SUA, Morogoro, Tanzania. 2013;97.
- 8. Kato F. Development of major rice cultivation area in the Kilombero Valley, Tanzania. Afri Study Monogr. 2007;36:3- 18.
- 9. Kalala AM, Amuri AN, Semoka JMR. Sulphur and zinc fertilization effects on growth and yield response of rice. Intern J Plant and Soil Sci. 2016;11(5): 1-12.
- 10. Landon JR. Booker tropical soil manual: A handbook for soil survey and agricultural land evaluation in the tropics and subtropics. John Wiley and Sons: New York. 1991;450.
- 11. Dobermann A, Fairhust T. Rice nutrient disorders and nutrient and nutrient management. International Rice Research Institute. Potash and Phosphate Institute, of Canada, and International Rice Research Institute, Singapore and Los Baños. 2000;203.
- 12. Huda NM, Islam MR, Jahiruddin M. Evaluation of extractants and critical limits of sulphur in rice soils of Bangladesh. Asian J Plant Sci. 2004;3:480-483.
- 13. Brosnan JT, Brosnan ME. The sulfurcontaining amino acids: An overview. J. Nutrit. 2006;136(6):1636-1640.
- 14. Singh AK, Manibhushan, Meena MK, Upadhyaya MA. Effect of sulphur and zinc on rice performance and nutrient dynamics in plants and soil of Indo gangetic plains. J Agr Sci. 2012;4:(10):162-170.
- 15. Ahmad HR, Aziz T, Hussain S, Akraam M, Sabir M, Khashif SUR, Hanafi MM. Zincenriched farm yard manure improves grain yield and grain zinc concentration in rice

grown on a saline-sodic soil. Intern. J Agricul Biol. 2012;14:787-792.

- 16. Muthukumararaja TM, Sriramachandrasekharan MV. Effect of zinc on yield, zinc nutrition and zinc use efficiency of lowland rice. J Agr Techn. 2012;8:551-561.
- 17. McLean EO. Soil pH and lime requirement: In: Methods of soil analysis part 2 (edited by AI Page; RH Miller and DR Keeney). Amer Soc Agron Soil Sci Amer Inc., Madison, Wisconsin. 1982;191-224.
- 18. Bray RH, Kurtz LT. Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphorus in soil. Soil Sci. 1945;59:39–45.
- 19. Murphy J, Riley JP. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphates in natural waters. Anal Chem Acta. 1962;27:31-36.
- 20. Thomas GW. Exchangeable cations. In: methods of soil analysis. Amer Soc Agron Monogr. 1996;9:149-157.
- 21. Lindsay WL, Norvel WA. Development of a DTPA test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Sci Soc Amer J. 1978;42:421- 428.
- 22. Moberg JR. Soil and plant analysis manual. The Royal Veterinary and Agriculture University, Chemistry Department, Copenhagen, Denmark. 2000;133.
- 23. Okalebo JR, Gathua KW, Woomer PL. Laboratory methods of soil analysis. A Working Manual KARI, Nairobi, Kenya; 1993.
- 24. Msolla MM. Response of rice to zinc in Igunga and Nzega Districts. A Dissertation

for Award of MSc Degree at Sokoine University of Agriculture, Morogoro, Tanzania. 1991;152.

- 25. Blair GJ, Lefroy RDB. Sulphur cycling in tropical soils and the agronomic impact of increasing use of S free fertilizers, increased crop production and burning of crop residue. In: Proceedings of the Symposium on Fertilizer Sulphur Requirements and Sources in Developing Countries of Asia and the Pacific. 1987;12- 17.
- 26. Thiagalingam K. Soil and plant sample collection, preparation and interpretation of chemical analysis. A training manual and guide. Australian Contribution to a National Agricultural Research System in PNG (ACNARS), Adelaide: Australia. 2000;49.
- 27. Ali MM, Mian MS, Islam A, Begum AJ, Ferdous AKM. Interaction effects of sulphur and phosphorus on wetland rice. Asian J. Plant Sci. 2004;3:597-601.
- 28. Habtegebrial K, Mersha S, Habtu S. Nitrogen and sulphur fertilizers effects on yield, nitrogen uptake and nitrogen use efficiency of upland rice variety on irrigated fulvisols of the afar region, Ethiopia. J Soil Sci Environ Managt. 2013;4(3):62-70.
- 29. Tandon HLS. Methods of analysis of soils, plants, waters and fertiliers. Fertilizer Development and Consultation Organization. New Delhi, India. 1995;144- 146.
- 30. Msolla MM, Semoka JMR, Singh BR. Assessment of available zinc for rice in soils of the Tabora region, Tanzania. Norweg J Agricul Sci. 1994;8:1-12.

___ © 2017 Kalala et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/17473