Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science

32(4): 1-11, 2019; Article no.JAMCS.17948 ISSN: 2456-9968 (Past name: British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science, Past ISSN: 2231-0851)

Perturbation Solutions to Fifth Order Over-damped Nonlinear Systems

Harun-Or-Roshid^{1,2*}, M. Zulfikar Ali², Pinakee Dey³ and M. Ali Akbar⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Pabna University of Science and Technology, Bangladesh. ²Department of Mathematics, University of Rajshai, Bangladesh. ³Department of Mathematics, Mawlana Bhashani Science and Technology University, Santosh, Tangail-1902, Bangladesh. ⁴Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Rajshai, Bangladesh.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2019/v32i430151

(1) Mohammad Mehdi Rashidi, Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran. <u>Reviewers:</u>

 H. Ullah, Department of Mathematics, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Pakistan.
 El-Nabulsi Ahmad Rami, Faculty of Mechanical, Jeju National University, South Korea. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/17948</u>

Original Research Article

Received: 25 January 2015 Accepted: 10 April 2015 Published: 01 June 2019

Abstract

Fifth order over-damp nonlinear differential systems can be used to describe many engineering problems and physical phenomena occur in the nature. In this article, the Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolskii (KBM) method has been extended to investigate the solution of a certain fifth order over-damp nonlinear systems and desired result has been found. The implementation of the presented method is illustrated by an example. The first order analytical approximate solutions obtained by the method for different initial conditions show a good agreement with those obtains by numerical method.

Keywords: Non-linearity; over-damp; perturbation; eigenvalues; Runge-Kutta method.

AMS subject Classification: 34E05, 34E10.

1 Introduction

Many engineering problems and physical phenomena arise in the nature lead to over-damp nonlinear differential equations. There exist several approaches for analytical approximate solutions, such as, the Lindstedt-Poincare method [1], WKB method [2], Multi-time-scale method [3], the Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolskii [4,5] method etc. The well-situated and widely used technique to obtain analytic approximate solutions to the nonlinear equations is the perturbation methods. Among the above methods KBM method is



^{*}Corresponding author: harunorroshidmd@gmail.com;

the mostly convenient and is the extensively used technique to obtain analytical approximate solution of nonlinear systems with small nonlinearity. In fact, Krylov and Bogoliubov [5] developed the perturbation method for obtaining periodic solutions was amplified and justified by Bogoliubov and Mitropolskii [4] and later Popov [6] and Meldelson [7] extended the method for damped nonlinear oscillations. A unified KBM method to solve second order nonlinear systems which covers under-damped, over-damped and periodic system with constant coefficients was presented by Murty [8]. Sattar [9] studied a third order over damped nonlinear system and Bojadziev [10] examined the damped oscillations modeled by a three dimensional nonlinear system. Shamsul and Sattar [11] presented a method for critically damped and Islam and Akbar [12] for more critically damped third order nonlinear systems. Akbar et al. [13] presented a method to solve fourth order over damped nonlinear systems which is easier, simple and less laborious than Murty et al. [14]. Later, Akbar et al. [15] pull out the method presented in [13] to damped oscillatory systems. Islam et al. [16] investigated the solutions of fourth order more critically damped nonlinear systems where Akbar [17] examined a different type solution for the same. Rahman et al. [18] obtained fourth order nonlinear oscillatory systems in which two of the eigenvalues are real and negative and the other two are complex conjugate. Akbar and Siddique [19] amplified the KBM method to obtain solutions of fifth order weakly nonlinear oscillatory systems. Also Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method [20-22] are developed for solving nonlinear evolutions equations.

The aim of this article is to obtain the analytical approximate solutions of fifth order over-damped nonlinear systems extending the KBM method. Figures are provided to compare validation and usefulness of the solutions obtained by the presented method for different initial conditions with the corresponding numerical solutions obtained by the fourth order Runge-Kutta method.

2 The Method

Let us consider a fifth order nonlinear over-damped system

$$\frac{d^{5}x}{dt^{5}} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_{i} \frac{d^{i}x}{dt^{i}} + c_{5}x = -\varepsilon f(x,t)$$
(1)

where ε is a small parameter, f(x,t) is the nonlinear function, c_i , i = 1, 2, ..., 4 are the characteristic parameters of the system defined by $c_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \lambda_i$, $c_2 = \sum_{i,j=1 \atop i \neq j}^{5} \lambda_i \lambda_j$, $c_3 = \sum_{i,j,k=1 \atop i \neq j \neq k}^{5} \lambda_i \lambda_j \lambda_k$,

 $c_4 = \sum_{\substack{i,j,k,l=1\\i\neq j\neq k\neq l}}^5 \lambda_i \lambda_j \lambda_k \lambda_l \text{ and } c_5 = \prod_{i=1}^5 \lambda_i \quad \text{where } -\lambda_1, -\lambda_2, -\lambda_3, -\lambda_4, -\lambda_5 \text{ are the eigenvalues of the}$

unperturbed equation of (1).

When $\mathcal{E} = 0$ the unperturbed equation has the solution

$$x(t,0) = \sum_{j=1}^{5} a_{j,0} e^{-\lambda_j t}$$
⁽²⁾

where $a_{j,0}$, j = 1, 2, ..., 5 are arbitrary constants.

When $\mathcal{E} \neq 0$, we seek a solution in accordance with Shamsul [23] of the form

$$x(t,\varepsilon) = \sum_{j=1}^{5} a_{j}(t) e^{-\lambda_{j}t} + \varepsilon u_{1}(a_{1},a_{2},\cdots,a_{5},t) + \cdots$$
(3)

2

where each a_j ; $j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$, satisfies the equations

$$\dot{a}_{j}(t) = \mathcal{E}A_{j}(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{5}, t) + \cdots$$
(4)

Confining our attention to the first few terms $1, 2, \dots, m$ in the series expansions of equations (3) and (4), we calculate the functions u_1 and A_j ; $j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$ such that a_j ; $j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$, appearing in equation (3) and (4), satisfy the differential equation (1) with an accuracy of \mathcal{E}^{m+1} . Though the solution can be obtained up to the accuracy of any order of approximation, owing to the rapidly growing algebraic complexity for the derivation of the formulae, the solution in general confine to lower order [8]. In order to determine these unknown functions, it is assumed that the function u_1 exclude fundamental terms which are included in the series expansion (3) at order \mathcal{E}^0 .

Differentiating $x(t,\varepsilon)$ five times with respect to t and substituting $x(t,\varepsilon)$ and their derivatives in eq. (1), using the relation in eq. (4) and equating the coefficients of ε , we obtain

$$\prod_{j=1}^{5} \left(\frac{d}{dt} + \lambda_{j}\right) u_{1} + \sum_{j=1}^{5} e^{-\lambda_{j}t} \left(\prod_{k=1, j \neq k}^{5} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_{j} + \lambda_{k}\right)\right) A_{j} = -f^{(0)}(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{5}, t)$$
(5)

where $f^{(0)} = f(x_0)$ and $x_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{5} a_j(t) e^{-\lambda_j t}$

The function $f^{(0)}$ can be expanded in a Taylor series (see Murty and Deekshatulu [24] for details) as:

$$f^{(0)} = \sum_{m_1 = -\infty \cdots m_5 = -\infty}^{\infty \dots \infty} F_{m_1, \dots m_5} \sum_{i=1}^{5} a_i^{m_i} e^{-(m_1 \lambda_1 + m_2 \lambda_2 + \dots + m_5 \lambda_5) a_i}$$

To obtain the solution of Eq. (1), it is assumed that u_1 exclude the fundamental terms. Therefore, Eq. (5) can be separated into six equations for unknown functions u_1 and A_j ; $j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$ (see [23] for details).

Substituting the functional value and equating the coefficients of $e^{-\lambda_j t}$; j = 1, 2, ..., 5, we obtain

$$e^{-\lambda_1 t} \sum_{i=2}^{5} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_1 + \lambda_i\right) A_1 = -\sum_{\substack{m_1 = -\infty, \cdots, m_5 = -\infty \\ m_3 = m_4, m_1 = m_2 + 1}}^{\infty, \dots, \infty} F_{m_1, \dots, m_5} \sum_{i=1}^{5} a_i^{m_i} e^{-(m_1 \lambda_1 + m_2 \lambda_2 + \dots + m_5 \lambda_5)t}$$
(6)

$$e^{-\lambda_2 t} \sum_{i=1, i\neq 2}^{5} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_2 + \lambda_i\right) A_2 = -\sum_{\substack{m_1 = -\infty, \cdots, m_5 = -\infty \\ m_3 = m_4, m_1 = m_2 - 1}}^{\infty, \dots, \infty} F_{m_1, \dots, m_5} \sum_{i=1}^{5} a_i^{m_i} e^{-(m_1 \lambda_1 + m_2 \lambda_2 + \dots + m_5 \lambda_5)t}$$
(7)

$$e^{-\lambda_3 t} \sum_{i=1,i\neq3}^5 \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_3 + \lambda_i\right) A_3 = -\sum_{\substack{m_1 = -\infty, \cdots, m_5 = -\infty \\ m_1 = m_2, m_3 = m_4 + 1}}^{\infty \dots \infty} F_{m_1, \dots m_5} \sum_{i=1}^5 a_i^{m_i} e^{-(m_1\lambda_1 + m_2\lambda_2 + \dots + m_5\lambda_5)t}$$
(8)

3

$$e^{-\lambda_4 t} \sum_{i=1, i \neq 4}^5 \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_4 + \lambda_i\right) A_4 = -\sum_{\substack{m_1 = -\infty, m_5 = -\infty\\ 1 = m_2, m_3 = m_4 - 1}}^{\infty \dots \infty} F_{m_1, \dots m_5} \sum_{i=1}^5 a_i^{m_i} e^{-(m_1\lambda_1 + m_2\lambda_2 + \dots + m_5\lambda_5)t}$$
(9)

$$e^{-\lambda_{5}t} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_{5} + \lambda_{i} \right) A_{5} = -\sum_{\substack{m_{1} = -\infty \cdots m_{5} = -\infty \\ m_{1} = m_{2}, m_{3} = m_{4}}}^{\infty \dots \infty} F_{m_{1}, \dots m_{5}} \sum_{i=1}^{5} a_{i}^{m_{i}} e^{-(m_{1}\lambda_{1} + m_{2}\lambda_{2} + \dots + m_{5}\lambda_{5})t}$$
(10)

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} \left(\frac{d}{dt} + \lambda_i\right) u_1 = -\sum_{m_1 = -\infty \dots m_5 = -\infty}^{\infty \dots \infty} F_{m_1, \dots m_5} \sum_{i=1}^{5} a_i^{m_i} e^{-(m_1 \lambda_1 + m_2 \lambda_2 + \dots + m_5 \lambda_5)t}$$
(11)

where u_1 avoid the terms for $m_1 = m_2 \pm 1$, $m_3 = m_4 \pm 1$, $m_1 = m_2$, $m_3 = m_4$.

Solving Eqs. (6) to (11), we obtain the unknown functions $A_1, A_2, ..., A_5$ and u_1 .

It is possible to transform solution Eq. (3) to the exact formal KBM [14,15,19,23] solution by substituting $a_1 = \frac{a}{2}e^{\varphi_1}$, $a_2 = \frac{a}{2}e^{-\varphi_1}$, $a_3 = \frac{b}{2}e^{\varphi_2}$, $a_4 = \frac{b}{2}e^{-\varphi_2}$ and $a_5 = c$. Herein a, b are amplitudes and φ_1 , φ_2 are phase variables.

3 Example

As an example of the above procedure, we consider the Duffing type equation

$$\frac{d^{5}x}{dt^{5}} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_{i} \frac{d^{i}x}{dt^{i}} + c_{5}x = -\varepsilon x^{3}$$
(12)
$$x(t) = x^{3} \text{ Therefore } c(0) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{5} - -i} dx^{3}$$

Here $f(x,t) = x^3$. Therefore, $f^{(0)} = (\sum_{i=1}^{5} a_i e^{-\lambda_i t})^2$

or

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(0)} &= a_{1}^{3} e^{-3\lambda_{1}t} + 3a_{1}^{2}a_{2}e^{-(2\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2})t} + 3a_{1}a_{2}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+2\lambda_{2})t} + a_{2}^{3}e^{-3\lambda_{2}t} \\ &+ 3a_{1}^{2}a_{3}e^{-(2\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3})t} + 3a_{1}^{2}a_{4}e^{-(2\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{4})t} + 3a_{1}^{2}a_{5}e^{-(2\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{5})t} \\ &+ 6a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3})t} + 6a_{1}a_{2}a_{4}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4})t} + 6a_{1}a_{2}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{5})t} \\ &+ 3a_{2}^{2}a_{3}e^{-(2\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3})t} + 3a_{2}^{2}a_{4}e^{-(2\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4})t} + 3a_{2}^{2}a_{5}e^{-(2\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{5})t} \\ &+ 3a_{1}a_{3}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+2\lambda_{3})t} + 3a_{1}a_{4}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+2\lambda_{4})t} + 3a_{1}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+2\lambda_{5})t} \\ &+ 6a_{1}a_{3}a_{4}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4})t} + 6a_{1}a_{3}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{5})t} + 6a_{1}a_{4}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} \\ &+ 3a_{2}a_{3}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+2\lambda_{3})t} + 3a_{2}a_{4}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+2\lambda_{4})t} + 3a_{2}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+2\lambda_{5})t} \\ &+ 6a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4})t} + 6a_{2}a_{4}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 6a_{2}a_{3}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{5})t} \\ &+ a_{3}^{2}e^{-3\lambda_{3}t} + 3a_{3}^{2}a_{4}e^{-(2\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4})t} + 3a_{3}a_{4}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{3}+2\lambda_{4})t} + a_{4}^{3}e^{-3\lambda_{4}t} \\ &+ 3a_{3}^{2}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{3}+2\lambda_{5})t} + 6a_{3}a_{4}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{4}+2\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{4}^{2}a_{5}e^{-(2\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} \\ &+ 3a_{3}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{3}+2\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{4}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{4}+2\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{5}^{3}e^{-3\lambda_{5}t} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the equations (6) to (11) takes the form

$$e^{-\lambda_1 t} \sum_{i=2}^{5} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_1 + \lambda_i \right) A_1 = -3a_1^2 a_2 e^{-(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)t} - 6a_1 a_3 a_4 e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4)t}$$
(14)

$$e^{-\lambda_2 t} \sum_{i=1, i\neq 2}^{5} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_2 + \lambda_i\right) A_2 = -3a_1 a_2^2 e^{-(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)t} - 6a_2 a_3 a_4 e^{-(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4)t}$$
(15)

$$e^{-\lambda_3 t} \sum_{i=1,i\neq3}^{5} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_3 + \lambda_i\right) A_3 = -3a_3^2 a_4 e^{-(2\lambda_3 + \lambda_4)t} - 6a_1 a_2 a_3 e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)t}$$
(16)

$$e^{-\lambda_4 t} \sum_{i=1,i\neq4}^{5} \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_4 + \lambda_i\right) A_4 = -3a_3 a_4^2 e^{-(\lambda_3 + 2\lambda_4)t} - 6a_1 a_2 a_4 e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_4)t}$$
(17)

$$e^{-\lambda_5 t} \sum_{i=1}^4 \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_5 + \lambda_i\right) A_5 = -6a_1 a_2 a_5 e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_5)t} - 6a_3 a_4 a_5 e^{-(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5)t}$$
(18)

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} \left(\frac{d}{dt} + \lambda_{i}\right) u_{1} = -\left(a_{1}^{3} e^{-3\lambda_{i}t} + a_{2}^{3} e^{-3\lambda_{2}t} + 3a_{1}^{2}a_{3} e^{-(2\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{3})t} + 3a_{1}^{2}a_{4} e^{-(2\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{4})t} + 3a_{1}^{2}a_{5} e^{-(2\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{4})t} + 3a_{1}^{2}a_{5} e^{-(2\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{3})t} + 3a_{1}^{2}a_{5} e^{-(2\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{3})t} + 3a_{1}a_{3}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{i}+2\lambda_{3})t} + 3a_{1}a_{3}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{i}+2\lambda_{3})t} + 3a_{1}a_{3}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{i}+2\lambda_{3})t} + 3a_{1}a_{3}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{i}+2\lambda_{3})t} + 3a_{1}a_{4}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{i}+2\lambda_{4})t} + 3a_{1}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{i}+2\lambda_{5})t} + 6a_{1}a_{3}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{5})t} + 6a_{1}a_{4}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{2}a_{3}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+2\lambda_{3})t} + 3a_{2}a_{3}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+2\lambda_{3})t} + 3a_{2}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+2\lambda_{5})t} + 6a_{2}a_{4}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 6a_{2}a_{3}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{5})t} + a_{3}^{2}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{3}^{2}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{3}^{2}a_{5}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{3}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+2\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{4}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+2\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{3}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+2\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{4}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+2\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{3}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{4}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{3}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{4}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{3}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{3}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{4}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{3}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{4}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{3}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{4}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{4}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{4}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{4}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{5}^{2}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{5}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{4}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{5}a_{5}^{2}e^{-(\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5})t} + 3a_{5}a_{5}$$

Solving Eqs. (14) to (18) and inserting $\lambda_1 = k_1 - \omega_1$, $\lambda_2 = k_1 + \omega_1$, $\lambda_3 = k_2 - \omega_2$, $\lambda_4 = k_2 + \omega_2$ and $\lambda_5 = \xi$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} A_{1} &= -\frac{3a_{1}^{2}a_{2}e^{-2k_{1}t}}{2(k_{1}-\omega_{1})\{(3k_{1}-k_{2})-(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2})\}\{(3k_{1}-k_{2})-(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2})\}\{(3k_{1}-\xi)-\omega_{1}\}} \\ &-\frac{6a_{1}a_{3}a_{4}e^{-2k_{2}t}}{2(k_{2}-\omega_{1})\{(k_{1}+k_{2})-(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2})\}\{(k_{1}+k_{2})-(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2})\}\{(k_{1}+2k_{2}-\xi)-\omega_{1}\}} \\ A_{2} &= -\frac{3a_{1}a_{2}^{2}e^{-2k_{1}t}}{2(k_{1}+\omega_{1})\{(3k_{1}-k_{2})+(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2})\}\{(3k_{1}-k_{2})+(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2})\}\{(3k_{1}-\xi)+\omega_{1}\}} \\ &-\frac{6a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}e^{-2k_{2}t}}{2(k_{2}+\omega_{1})\{(k_{1}+k_{2})+(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2})\}\{(k_{1}+k_{2})+(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2})\}\{(k_{1}+2k_{2}-\xi)+\omega_{1}\}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} A_{3} &= -\frac{3a_{3}^{2}a_{4}e^{-2k_{2}t}}{2(k_{2}-\omega_{2})\{(3k_{2}-k_{1})+(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2})\}\{(3k_{2}-k_{1})-(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2})\}\{(3k_{2}-\xi)-\omega_{2}\}} \\ &-\frac{6a_{1}a_{3}a_{4}e^{-2k_{1}t}}{2(k_{1}-\omega_{2})\{(k_{1}+k_{2})+(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2})\}\{(k_{1}+k_{2})-(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2})\}\{(2k_{1}+k_{2}-\xi)-\omega_{2}\}} \\ A_{4} &= -\frac{3a_{3}a_{4}^{2}e^{-2k_{2}t}}{2(k_{2}+\omega_{2})\{(3k_{2}-k_{1})+(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2})\}\{(3k_{2}-k_{1})-(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2})\}\{(3k_{2}-\xi)+\omega_{2}\}} \\ &-\frac{6a_{1}a_{2}a_{4}e^{-2k_{1}t}}{2(k_{1}+\omega_{2})\{(k_{1}+k_{2})+(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2})\}\{(k_{1}+k_{2})-(\omega_{1}-\omega_{2})\}\{(2k_{1}+k_{2}-\xi)+\omega_{2}\}} \\ A_{5} &= -\frac{6a_{1}a_{2}a_{5}e^{-2k_{1}t}}{\{(k_{1}+\xi)^{2}-\omega_{1}^{2}\}\{(2k_{1}-k_{2}+\xi)^{2}-\omega_{2}^{2}\}} - \frac{6a_{3}a_{4}a_{5}e^{-2k_{2}t}}{\{(k_{2}+\xi)^{2}-\omega_{2}^{2}\}\{(2k_{2}-k_{1}+\xi)^{2}-\omega_{2}^{2}\}} \end{split}$$

Now inserting A_j ; j = 1, 2, ..., 5 in the Eq. (4) and using $a_1 = \frac{1}{2}ae^{\phi_1}$, $a_2 = \frac{1}{2}ae^{-\phi_1}$, $a_3 = \frac{1}{2}be^{\phi_2}$, $a_4 = \frac{1}{2}be^{-\phi_2}$ and $a_5 = c$ we obtain

$$\dot{a} = \varepsilon (l_1 a^3 e^{-2k_1 t} + l_2 a b^2 e^{-2k_2 t}) \qquad \dot{b} = \varepsilon (n_1 b^3 e^{-2k_2 t} + n_2 a^2 b e^{-2k_1 t})
\dot{\phi}_1 = \varepsilon (m_1 a^2 e^{-2k_1 t} + m_2 b^2 e^{-2k_2 t}) \qquad \dot{\phi}_2 = \varepsilon (p_1 b^2 e^{-2k_2 t} + p_2 a^2 e^{-2k_1 t})
\dot{c} = \varepsilon (q_1 a^2 c e^{-2k_1 t} + q_2 b^2 c e^{-2k_2 t})$$
(20)

where

$$\begin{split} &l_{1} = -\frac{3}{8} \begin{cases} & (3k_{1}^{2} - k_{1}k_{2} + \omega_{1}^{2} + \omega_{1}\omega_{2})(9k_{1}^{2} - 3k_{1}k_{2} - 3k_{1}\xi + k_{2}\xi + \omega_{1}^{2} - \omega_{1}\omega_{2}) + \\ & (4k_{1}\omega_{1} + k_{1}\omega_{2} - k_{2}\omega_{1})(6k_{1}\omega_{1} - k_{2}\omega_{1} - 3k_{1}\omega_{2} - \omega_{1}\xi + \omega_{2}\xi) \\ & (k_{1}^{2} - \omega_{1}^{2})\{(3k_{1} - k_{2})^{2} - (\omega_{1} + \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(3k_{1} - k_{2})^{2} - (\omega_{1} - \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(3k_{1} - \xi)^{2} - \omega_{1}^{2}\omega_{2}\} + \\ & l_{2} = -\frac{3}{4} \begin{cases} (k_{1}k_{2} + k_{2}^{2} + \omega_{1}^{2} - \omega_{1}\omega_{2})\{(k_{1} + k_{2})(k_{1} + 2k_{2} - \xi) + \omega_{1}^{2} - \omega_{1}\omega_{2}\} + \\ & (2k_{2}\omega_{1} - k_{2}\omega_{2} + k_{1}\omega_{1})(2k_{1}\omega_{1} + 3k_{2}\omega_{1} + k_{1}\omega_{2} + 2k_{2}\omega_{2} - \omega_{1}\xi - \omega_{2}\xi) \\ & (k_{2}^{2} - \omega_{1}^{2})\{(k_{1} + k_{2})^{2} - (\omega_{1} - \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(k_{1} + k_{2})^{2} - (\omega_{1} + \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(k_{1} + 2k_{2} - \xi)^{2} - \omega_{1}^{2}\} \end{cases} \end{cases} \\ m_{1} = -\frac{3}{8} \begin{cases} (3k_{2}^{2} - k_{1}k_{2} + \omega_{2}^{2} + \omega_{1}\omega_{2})(9k_{2}^{2} - 3k_{1}k_{2} - 3k_{2}\xi + k_{1}\xi + \omega_{2}^{2} - \omega_{1}\omega_{2}) + \\ & (4k_{2}\omega_{2} - k_{1}\omega_{2} + k_{2}\omega_{1})(6k_{2}\omega_{2} - k_{1}\omega_{2} - 3k_{2}\omega_{1} + \omega_{1}\xi - \omega_{2}\xi) \\ & (k_{2}^{2} - \omega_{2}^{2})\{(3k_{2} - k_{1})^{2} - (\omega_{1} - \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(3k_{2} - k_{1})^{2} - (\omega_{1} + \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(3k_{2} - \xi)^{2} - \omega_{1}^{2}\} \end{cases} \end{cases} \end{cases} \end{cases} \\ m_{2} = -\frac{3}{4} \begin{cases} (k_{1}^{2} + k_{1}k_{2} + \omega_{2}^{2} + \omega_{1}\omega_{2})(6k_{2}\omega_{2} - k_{1}\omega_{2} - 3k_{2}\omega_{1} + \omega_{1}\xi - \omega_{2}\xi) \\ & (k_{1}^{2} - \omega_{2}^{2})\{(3k_{2} - k_{1})^{2} - (\omega_{1} - \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(3k_{2} - k_{1})^{2} - (\omega_{1} + \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(3k_{2} - \xi)^{2} - \omega_{2}^{2}\} \end{cases} \end{cases} \end{cases} \\ m_{2} = -\frac{3}{4} \begin{cases} (k_{1}^{2} + k_{1}k_{2} + \omega_{2}^{2} + \omega_{1}\omega_{2})(6k_{1}\omega_{2} - k_{1}\omega_{2} - k_{1}\omega_{2} - 2k_{1}\omega_{1} - k_{2}\omega_{1} + \omega_{1}\xi - \omega_{2}\xi) \\ & (k_{1}^{2} - \omega_{2}^{2})\{(k_{2} + k_{1})^{2} - ((\omega_{1} - \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(k_{2} + k_{1})^{2} - (\omega_{1} + \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(2k_{1} + k_{2} - \xi)^{2} - \omega_{2}\xi) \end{cases} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

6

$$\begin{split} n_{2} &= -\frac{3}{4} \begin{cases} (k_{1}k_{2} + k_{2}^{2} + \omega_{l}^{2} - \omega_{l}\omega_{2})(2k_{1}\omega_{l} + 3k_{2}\omega_{l} + k_{1}\omega_{2} + 2k_{2}\omega_{2} - \omega_{l}\xi - \omega_{2}\xi) - \\ (2k_{2}\omega_{l} - k_{2}\omega_{2} + k_{1}\omega_{l})\{(k_{1} + k_{2})(k_{1} + 2k_{2} - \xi) + \omega_{l}^{2} + \omega_{l}\omega_{2}\} \\ (k_{2}^{2} - \omega_{l}^{2})\{(k_{1} + k_{2})^{2} - (\omega_{l} - \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(k_{1} + k_{2})^{2} - (\omega_{l} + \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(k_{1} + 2k_{2} - \xi)^{2} - \omega_{l}^{2}\} \\ p_{1} &= -\frac{3}{8} \begin{cases} (3k_{2}^{2} - k_{1}k_{2} + \omega_{2}^{2} + \omega_{1}\omega_{2})(6k_{2}\omega_{2} - k_{1}\omega_{2} - 3k_{2}\omega_{1} + \omega_{1}\xi - \omega_{2}\xi) - \\ (4k_{2}\omega_{2} - k_{1}\omega_{2} + k_{2}\omega_{1})(9k_{2}^{2} - 3k_{1}k_{2} - 3k_{2}\xi + k_{1}\xi + \omega_{2}^{2} - \omega_{1}\omega_{2}) \\ (k_{2}^{2} - \omega_{2}^{2})\{(3k_{2} - k_{1})^{2} - (\omega_{l} - \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(3k_{2} - k_{1})^{2} - (\omega_{l} + \omega_{2})^{2}\}\{(3k_{2} - \xi)^{2} - \omega_{2}\xi\} \\ \end{cases} \\ p_{2} &= -\frac{3}{4} \begin{cases} (k_{1}^{2} + k_{1}k_{2} + \omega_{2}^{2} + \omega_{1}\omega_{2})(2k_{2}\omega_{2} + 3k_{1}\omega_{2} - 2k_{1}\omega_{1} - k_{2}\omega_{1} + \omega_{1}\xi - \omega_{2}\xi) \\ - (k_{1}\omega_{1} + 2k_{1}\omega_{2} + k_{2}\omega_{2})\{(k_{1} + k_{2})(2k_{1} - k_{2} - \xi) + \omega_{2}^{2} - \omega_{1}\omega_{2}\} \\ - (k_{1}\omega_{1} + 2k_{1}\omega_{2} + k_{2}\omega_{2})\{(k_{1} + k_{2})(2k_{1} - k_{2} - \xi) + \omega_{2}^{2} - \omega_{1}\omega_{2}\} \\ q_{1} &= -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\{(k_{1} + \xi)^{2} - \omega_{1}^{2}\}\{(2k_{1} - k_{2} + \xi)^{2} - \omega_{2}^{2}\}} \end{cases}$$

and

$$q_{2} = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\{(k_{2} + \xi)^{2} - \omega_{2}^{2}\}\}\{(2k_{2} - k_{1} + \xi)^{2} - \omega_{2}^{2}\}}$$

Equations in (20) are nonlinear and have no exact solutions. We can solve (20) by considering a, b, c, φ_1 and φ_2 are constants in the right-hand sides of (20). Since \mathcal{E} is small, $\dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dot{c}, \dot{\varphi}_1$ and $\dot{\varphi}_2$ are slowly varying function of time, therefore, this consideration is applicable. This assumption was used by Murty et al. [13,24] to solve the similar nonlinear equations. The solution is thus

$$a(t) = a_{0} + \varepsilon (l_{1}a_{0}^{3} \frac{(1 - e^{-2k_{1}t})}{2k_{1}} + l_{2}a_{0}b_{0}^{2} \frac{(1 - e^{-2k_{2}t})}{2k_{2}})$$

$$b(t) = b_{0} + \varepsilon (n_{1}b_{0}^{3} \frac{(1 - e^{-2k_{2}t})}{2k_{2}} + n_{2}a_{0}^{2}b_{0}\frac{(1 - e^{-2k_{1}t})}{2k_{1}})$$

$$\varphi_{1}(t) = \varphi_{1,0} + \varepsilon (m_{1}a_{0}^{2} \frac{(1 - e^{-2k_{1}t})}{2k_{1}} + m_{2}b_{0}^{2}\frac{(1 - e^{-2k_{2}t})}{2k_{2}})$$

$$\varphi_{2}(t) = \varphi_{2,0} + \varepsilon (p_{1}b_{0}^{2}\frac{(1 - e^{-2k_{2}t})}{2k_{2}} + p_{2}a_{0}^{2}\frac{(1 - e^{-2k_{1}t})}{2k_{1}})$$

and

$$c(t) = c_0 + \varepsilon (q_1 a_0^2 c_0 \frac{(1 - e^{-2k_0 t})}{2k_1} + q_2 b_0^2 c_0 \frac{(1 - e^{-2k_0 t})}{2k_2})$$
(21)

Therefore, the first order solution of Eq. (12) is

$$x(t) = a\cosh(\omega_1 t + \varphi_1) + b\cosh(\omega_2 t + \varphi_2) + ce^{-\xi t} + \varepsilon u_1.$$
⁽²²⁾

where a, b, c, φ_1 and φ_2 are given in the Eq. (21).

4 Results and Discussion

In order to check the accuracy of an analytical approximate solutions obtained, based on the KBM method, we compare the approximate solutions to the numerical solutions. In this article, we have compared our obtained results to those obtained by the fourth order Runge-Kutta method for different sets of initial conditions as well as different sets of eigenvalues. Beside this, we have also computed the Pearson correlation between the perturbation results and the corresponding numerical results. From the figures we observed that our perturbation solution agree with numerical results suitably for different initial conditions.

At first, for $k_1 = 2.05$, $k_2 = 3.09$, $\omega_1 = 1.414$, $\omega_2 = 2.57$, $\xi = 0.03$ and $\varepsilon = 0.1$, $x(t,\varepsilon)$ has been computed by solution (22), in which a, b, c, φ_1 and φ_2 are computed by the equation (21) with initial conditions $a_0 = 0.63$, $b_0 = 0.52$, $c_0 = 0.3$, $\varphi_{10} = 1.375$ and $\varphi_{20} = 0.5708$ [i. e, x(0) = 2.23253, $\frac{dx(0)}{dt} = -2.144589$, $\frac{d^2x(0)}{dt^2} = 6.275333$, $\frac{d^3x(0)}{dt^3} = -30.274223$ and $\frac{d^4x(0)}{dt^4} = 162.2777256$.]

For the above mentioned initial conditions, the perturbation results obtained by the solution (22) and the corresponding numerical results obtained by a fourth order Runge-Kutta method with a small time increment $\Delta t = 0.05$, are plotted in Fig. 1. The correlation between the results is 0.994941.





Secondly, for $k_1 = 1$, $k_2 = 2$, $\omega_1 = 0.81$, $\omega_2 = 1.5704$, $\xi = 0.09$ and $\varepsilon = 0.1$, $x(t,\varepsilon)$ has been computed (22), in which a, b, c, φ_1 and φ_2 by the equation (21)with initial conditions $a_0 = 0.15, b_0 = 0.15, c_0 = 0.20, \varphi_{1,0} = 1.25664$ and $\varphi_{2,0} = 0.3927$ [i. e., x(0) = 0.646581, $\frac{dx(0)}{dt} = -0.334486$, $\frac{d^2x(0)}{dt^2} = 0.745605$, $\frac{d^3x(0)}{dt^3} = -2.439806$ and $\frac{d^4x(0)}{dt^4} = 8.461052 \cdot 1$

In this section, the perturbation results obtained by the solution (22) and the corresponding numerical results obtained by a fourth order Runge-Kutta method with a small time increment $\Delta t = 0.05$, are plotted Fig. 2. The correlation between the results is 0.994337.



Fig. 2. Perturbation solution plotted by solid line and numerical solution plotted dotted line

Finally, for $k_1 = 0.5$, $k_2 = 0.47$, $\omega_1 = 0.237$, $\omega_2 = 0.321$, $\xi = 0.003$ and $\varepsilon = 0.1$, $x(t,\varepsilon)$ has been computed (22), in which a, b, c, φ_1 and φ_2 by the equation (21) with initial conditions $a_0 = 0.02, b_0 = 0.02, c_0 = 0.008, \varphi_{1,0} = .\pi/2$ and $\varphi_{2,0} = 0.414$ [i. e. x(0) = 0.079922, $\frac{dx(0)}{dt} = -0.021774$, $\frac{d^2x(0)}{dt^2} = 0.009020$, $\frac{d^3x(0)}{dt^3} = -0.005127$ and $\frac{d^4x(0)}{dt^4} = 0.003545$.]

The perturbation results obtained by the solution (22) and the corresponding numerical results obtained by a fourth order Runge-Kutta method with a small time increment $\Delta t = 0.05$ are plotted Fig. 3. The correlation between the results is 0.999248.



Fig. 3. Perturbation solution plotted by solid line and numerical solution plotted dotted line

From Figs. 1 to 3 it is noteworthy to observe that perturbation results show a good agreement with those obtained by the fourth order Runge-Kutta method.

5 Conclusions

In this article a procedure is formulated to find the first order analytical approximate solution of fifth order over damped nonlinear differential systems with small nonlinearities based on the KBM [4,5] method. The correlation has been calculated between the results acquired by the perturbation solution and the fourth order Runge-Kutta method of the same problem. The results obtained for different initial conditions, show a good coincidence with corresponding numerical results and they are strongly correlated.

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Poincare H. The foundations of science. New York: Science Press; 1908. (Reprinted In 1921).
- [2] Froman N, Froman PO. JWBK-approximation, North-Holland; 1965.
- [3] Nayfeh AH. Perturbation method. Wiley. New York; 1973.
- [4] Bogoliubov NN, Mitropolskii Yu. Asymptotic methods in the theory of nonlinear oscillations. Gordan and Breach, New York; 1961.
- [5] Krylov NN, Bogoliubov NN. Introduction to nonlinear mechanics. Princeton Univ. Press, New Jersey; 1947.
- [6] Popov IP. A generalization of the Bogoliubov asymptotic method in the theory of nonlinear oscillations. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 1956;3:308-310. (In Russian)
- [7] Mendelson KS. Perturbation theory for damped nonlinear oscillations. J. Math. Phys. 1970;11:3413-3415.
- [8] Murty ISN. A unified Krylov-Bogoliubov method for solving second order nonlinear systems. Int. J. Nonlinear Mech. 1971;6:45-53.
- Sattar MA. An asymptotic method for three-dimensional over-damped nonlinear systems. Ganit, J. Bangladesh Math. Soc. 1993;13:1-8.
- [10] Bojadziev GN. Damped nonlinear modeled by a 3-dimensional differential system. Acta Mech. 1983;48:193-201.
- [11] Shamsul MA, Sattar MA. An asymptotic method for third order critically damped nonlinear equations. J. Math. Phys. Sci. 1996;30:291-298.
- [12] Islam MR, Akbar MA. A new asymptotic solution for third order more critically damped nonlinear systems. IAENG, Int. J. Appl. Math. 2009;39(1).
- [13] Akbar MA, Paul AC, Sattar MA. An asymptotic method of Krylov-Bogoliubov for fourth order overdamped nonlinear systems. Ganit, J. Bangladesh Math. Soc. 2002;22:83-96.
- [14] Murty ISN, Deekshatulu BL, Krishna G. On an asymptotic method of Krylov-Bogoliubov for overdamped nonlinear systems. J. Franklin Inst. 1969;288:49-65.

- [15] Akbar MA, Shamsul MA, Sattar MA. An asymptotic method for fourth order damped nonlinear systems. Ganit, J. Bangladesh Math. Soc. 2003;23:41-49.
- [16] Islam MR, Rahman MH, Akbar MA. An analytical approximate solution of fourth order more critically damped nonlinear systems. Indian J. Math. 2009;51(3):611-626,.
- [17] Akbar MA. On fourth order more critically damped nonlinear differential systems. J. Mech. of Continua and Math. Sciences. 2010;5(1):599-616.
- [18] Rahman MH, Azad MAK, Akbar MA. An asymptotic method for certain fourth order damped oscillatory nonlinear systems. J. Eng. Sci. 2010;1:53-60.
- [19] Ali Akbar M, Tanzer Ahmed Siddique Sk. Perturbation solutions of fifth order oscillatory nonlinear systems. Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control. 2011;16(2):123-134.
- [20] Ullah H, Islam S, Khan I, Sheridan S, Fiza M. Approximate solution of the generalized coupled Hirota-Satsuma coupled KdV equation by extended optimal homotopy asymptotic method. Magnt Research Report. 2015;2(7):3022-3036.
- [21] Islam S, Zuhra S, Idrees M, Ullah H, Shah SIA, Zaman A. Application of optimal homotopy asymptotic method to Benjamin-Bona-Mahony and Sawada- Kotera equations. World Applied Sciences Journal. 2014;31(11):1945-1951.
- [22] Ullah H, Nawaz R, Islam S, Idrees M, Fiza M. The optimal homotopy asymptotic method with application to modified Kawahara equation. J. of the Association of Arab Universities for Basic and Applied Sciences; 2014. DOI: 10.1016/J.JAUBAS.2014.05.004
- [23] Shamsul MA. A unified Krylov-Bogoliubov-Mitropolskii method for solving n-th order nonlinear systems J. Franklin Inst. 2002;339:239-248.
- [24] Murty ISN, Deekshatulu BL. Method of variation of parameters for over-damped nonlinear systems. J. Control. 1969;9(3):259-266.

© 2019 Harun-Or-Roshid et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar) http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/17948