



# Morphological Characterization and Diversity Analysis of Maize Inbred Lines (*Zea mays* L.)

Ajay Kumar <sup>a</sup>, Ashish Narayan <sup>a\*</sup>  
and Vinay Kumar Choudhary <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Tirhut College of Agriculture, Dholi, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81224>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120124>

Original Research Article

Received: 25/05/2024  
Accepted: 30/07/2024  
Published: 05/08/2024

## ABSTRACT

To characterize Maize inbred lines in respect to morphological and physiological traits for its diversity and final utilization in the breeding programs, a study was conducted with twenty-five inbred lines at Tirhut college of Agriculture, Dholi Muzaffarpur Bihar. Genetic diversity among inbred lines may be used for promising hybrid combinations development in maize breeding programme. In the present investigation, these twenty-five inbred lines were sown in randomized block design with standard agronomical practices during Kharif, 2022. Analysis of variance revealed highly significant differences among the genotypes for all the parameters viz., Days to 50 percent Tasseling and Silking, 75% Brown husk, Plant Height (cn), Ear height (cm), Ear length (cm), Ear girth (cm), No. of Kernels per row, No. of kernel rows per ear, Iron and Zinc content (ppm), 100

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [narayanashish@rediffmail.com](mailto:narayanashish@rediffmail.com);

**Cite as:** Kumar, Ajay, Ashish Narayan, and Vinay Kumar Choudhary. 2024. "Morphological Characterization and Diversity Analysis of Maize Inbred Lines (*Zea Mays* L.)". *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology* 27 (8):1033-42. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81224>.

grain weight (g), Harvest index and Grain yield (kg/ha.) showed presence of considerable variability among all the genotypes. Narrow deviation from genotypic and phenotypic variance evidenced that very less environmental influence on expression of almost all studied characters. According to the D2 analysis, the 25 maize inbred lines were divided into eight clusters, with cluster I having the largest number of inbred lines, while clusters II, V, VI, VII, and VIII have only one inbred line. Cluster VI (21-213-CML-401) and cluster VIII (HKI-1105) was the most distant cluster among inbred lines within the clusters, indicating the greatest genetic diversity.

**Keywords:** Maize; inbred lines; morphological characters; genetic diversity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is a cross pollinated crop, belong to the family of Gramineae (*Poaceae*). It is one of the most important crop in the world's agricultural sector. It is grown primarily for grain production, followed by its use as a source of foods and feed for both humans and animals, respectively. The use of maize as food, poultry feed, cattle feed, and other purposes, it occupied 34, 40, 12, 10 % respectively and 2% portion used as starch and seed. It is the third-most significant cereal the third-largest contribution to the national food basket after wheat and rice, with an 8% contribution with highest rate of increase among cereal (Bison et al. 2017). As a cross-pollinated species, maize has a wide range of morphological plasticity and geographic flexibility, making it one of the crop species with the most variability. Therefore, the fundamental issues facing maize curators and breeders are maintaining genetic variety and improving the management of genetic resources. Due to its cross-pollination in nature, maize has preserved heterozygous equilibrium in an open population. Inbred lines with genetically diverse origins with broad genetic base have been shown in research on maize to be more productive than crossings of inbred lines made from closely related sources having narrow genetic base and gene combinations as suggested by Vasal et al., [1]. Although morphological features represent the outward expression of an organism's underlying genetic structure, they serve as significant indicators for determining the genetic diversity. The morphological variability's characterization enables breeders to recognise accessions with desired traits and prevent duplication of accessions in the acquisition of accessible germplasm and their application in varietal programme for improvement mentioned by Magar et al., [2]. Study of genetic variation in inbred maize populations will make it easier to determine the genotype's real potential utility. Further, statistical approaches to evaluating

diversity that take into account the genetic distance between breeding purposes and the diversity-enhancing effects of individual characters [3]. Using the information provided above, the current study was carried out to characterise 25 inbred lines of maize based on morphological and yield characteristics, as well as to determine their genetic diversity. The inbred lines having greater genetic distance with desirable traits may be taken for hybridization programme to develop promising hybrids in desired direction.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This investigation was conducted at the Maize Research plot of Tirhut College of Agriculture, Dholi farm of Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur (Bihar). The experiment was conducted during *Kharif* season 2022 in randomized complete block design under three replications. The plot was well drained and irrigated, and the topography was uniform. In each plot, two rows of 4m length are spaced 75 cm apart having plant distances at 20 cm each. A total of 25 maize inbred lines from a variety of maize populations were used in the experiment (Table 1).

Altogether, 14 morphological and quality traits on five randomly selected plants were recorded among the 25 inbred lines at appropriate (Table 2).

Standard statistical approaches were used to draw appropriate interpretations after computations for Analysis of Variance, Genotypic and Phenotypic Co-efficient of Variability and Genetic divergence studies (OPSTAT of HAU, Hisar). Zinc and Iron content in kernel is analyzed biochemically after digestion with di acid followed by AAS method after harvesting [4].

**Table 1. List of inbred lines and their source**

No.	Inbred line	Abbreviation	Source
1	BML-6	G1	TCA, Dholi Center
2	POP-65	G2	TCA, Dholi Center
3	2006-6-CML-471	G3	TCA, Dholi Center
4	HKI-323-B	G4	TCA, Dholi Center
5	21-113-CML-411	G5	TCA, Dholi Center
6	CM-202	G6	TCA, Dholi Center
7	CM-142	G7	TCA, Dholi Center
8	CM-210	G8	TCA, Dholi Center
9	DTPYC <sub>9</sub> -F <sub>46</sub> -3-4-1-1-B*-8	G9	TCA, Dholi Center
10	G18seqC <sub>5</sub> F <sub>105</sub> -1-1-1-2-BB-B2-B4	G10	TCA, Dholi Center
11	CML-224	G11	TCA, Dholi Center
12	8-12-38-IEC-618960	G12	TCA, Dholi Center
13	HKI-1105	G13	TCA, Dholi Center
14	LM-13	G14	TCA, Dholi Center
15	IC296599	G15	TCA, Dholi Center
16	HKI-163	G16	TCA, Dholi Center
17	CML-41	G17	TCA, Dholi Center
18	EC-618219	G18	TCA, Dholi Center
19	CML-117-3-4-1-1-4-1	G19	TCA, Dholi Center
20	P-3396-51	G20	TCA, Dholi Center
21	P-3404-57	G21	TCA, Dholi Center
22	(CA145021CA14509) F2-32	G22	TCA, Dholi Center
23	(CML-165xK145)-B-11-3-BB-1-B*7	G23	TCA, Dholi Center
24	DTPWC <sub>9</sub> -F <sub>24</sub> -2-3-1-3-2-1-2-B*9	G24	TCA, Dholi Center
25	Temp x Trop (HO)QPM-BBB-23-BBB	G25	TCA, Dholi Center

**Table 2. Morphological and quality traits taken for study**

Sl. No	Name of the Character	Abbreviation
1	Days to 50 percent Tasseling	DTT
2	Days to 50 percent silking	DTS
3	Days to 75 percent Brown husk	DBH
4	Plant height(cm)	PH
5	Ear height(cm)	EH
6	Ear length(cm)	EL
7	Ear girth(cm)	EG
8	Number of kernels per row	NKR
9	Number of kernel rows per ear	NKRE
10	Iron content (ppm)	Fe
11	Zinc content (ppm)	Zn
12	100 grain weight (g)	100- GW
13	Harvest index	HI
14	Grain yield (kg/ha)	GY

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genetic potential of 25 maize inbred lines for yield and component traits exhibited sufficient variation as per analysis of variance in the inbred

lines which revealed that these lines may be used further for hybrid combinations. Treatment mean sum of squares were highly significant for all the fourteen traits as mentioned in Table 3. Similar results of significant mean sum of

squares due to genotypes was observed by Nzuve et al., [5], Sharma et al., [6], Begum et al., [7], Jilo et al. [8], Rai et al., [9], Magar et al., (2021). The mean performance is known to show quantitative inheritance pattern and provides a reflection of the breeding value of inbred lines high-mean-performance inbred lines in various situations. According to the data on mean performances of 25 inbred lines (Table 3), the best inbred line in terms of grain yield (Kg/ha) was (CML-165 x K145)-B-11-3-BB (3933.91kg/ha). It was the highest yielder among the inbred lines with superior ear lengths, ear length, and minimal kernel rows per ear followed by HKI-1105 and 21-113-CML-411. The best inbred line for grain yield (kg/ha) was (CML-165 x K145)-B-11-3-BB followed by HKI-1105 (3535.66) and BML-6 (3318.50).

The findings demonstrated that the phenotypic variance was slightly greater than the genotypic variance for nearly all of the fourteen traits indicated little role of environment in expression of traits. There was a higher phenotypic variance for all fourteen characters in comparison to their corresponding genotypic variance. For grain yield, there was a high genotypic coefficient of variation and a high phenotypic coefficient of variation showing greater mean range of variation for grain yield. The characters having moderate genotypic coefficient of variation was recorded for iron content, zinc content and moderate Phenotypic of variation for plant height, ear length, ear girth, number of kernel rows per ear, iron content, zinc content. However, low genotypic coefficient of variation was recorded for plant height, ear height, days to 50 % tasseling, days to 50% silking, days to 75 % brown husk, ear length, ear girth, number of kernels per row, number of kernel rows per ear, 100 grain weight, harvest index and low Phenotypic coefficient variation were recorded for ear height, days to 50 % tasseling, days to 50% silking, days to 75 % brown husk, number of kernels per row, 100 grain weight, harvest index. Similar conformity with Hepziba et al., [10], Vashistha et al., [11], Nzuve et al., [5], Sandeep et al., [12], Reddy et al., [13], Bartaula et al., [14] Magar et al., (2021). A broad sense estimation of heritability (Table 3) showed that the majority of characters have higher heritability, such as Zn (ppm) (97.95), Fe (ppm) (97.59), DTT (86.34), DBH (85.72), DTS (85.31), 100-GW (58.82), EL (58.58), HI (53.85). Characters having moderate heritability were recorded for EG (39.15), PH (34.32), NKRE (32.63), NKR (31.55). According to genetic advance estimates,

GY (kg/ha) (46.54), Zn (ppm) (32.28), and Fe (ppm) (23.12) were also having high genetic advance. The following traits have moderate genetic advance as percent of mean namely EL (15.49), 100-GW (12.04), DTT (11.22) HI (11.05), DTS (11.03), DBH (10.42). Despite this, genetic advance was low with respect to EH (9.99), EG (8.25), PH (7.12), NKRE (6.88), NKR (6.42) as mentioned in Table 3. Therefore, characters having higher heritability in broad sense with high genetic advance were found for iron and zinc content along with grain yield suggested that variation might be primarily caused by additive gene effect and a genotype may be created that is widely used if these traits are selected for utilizing fixable genetic variance. High GCV, PCV, heritability, and GA as % of mean values were observed for grain yield from variability studies, which is attributable to additive gene action and demonstrates the significance of these variables in evaluation and selection for future hybridization programmers. The findings of Reddy et al., [13], Begum et al., [7], Matin et al., [15], Hosamani et al., [16], Sravani et al., [17], Rai et al., [18] also showed similar trends.

All the 25 inbred lines of maize were studied for their genetic diversity based on the Mahalanobis  $D^2$  statistic [19] based on fourteen important quantitative characteristics. A hierarchical clustering divided these inbred lines into eight clusters according to their genetic distance (Tables 5 & 6). Those genotypes belonging to the same cluster are likely to be less diverse from each other than those belonging to the different clusters, so crossing between genotypes belonging to the same cluster may not result in the desired heterotic response and desired segregants in subsequent generations. Among eight clusters using Tochers' method, Cluster I possessed the largest number of inbred lines (12), while clusters II, V, VI, VII, and VIII had only one inbred line each. There was a maximum inter cluster distance (110.86) between clusters VI (21-113-CML-411) and cluster VIII (HKI-1105), indicating that these clusters have a high degree of genotype diversity among themselves. The results of breeding programs can therefore be achieved by selecting diverse parents from different clusters. Genotypic diversity is higher in clusters with a greater distance between them. Earlier workers such as Kage et al. [20], Antony et al. [21], Singh et al. [22] and Hassan et al. [23] adopted similar approaches.

**Table 3. Mean, variances, heritability and genetic advance as percent of mean**

S. No.	Characters	Mean	Mean sum of Squares Treatment (df=24)	$\sigma^2_g$	$\sigma^2_p$	GCV	PCV	$h^2$ (Broad sense) %	GA as % of Mean
1	Plant height (cm)	149.22 ± 7.03	381.57**	77.64	226.27	5.90	10.08	34.32	7.12
2	Ear height (cm)	73.20 ± 2.70	94.39 **	24.12	46.13	6.70	9.27	52.3	9.99
3	Days to 50 % tasseling	93.10 ± 1.29	100.92**	31.95	37.01	5.86	6.31	86.34	11.22
4	Days to 50% silking	98.00 ± 1.34	102.95 **	32.50	37.94	5.78	6.25	85.31	11.03
5	Days to 75% brown husk	122.54 ± 1.62	150.15**	47.41	55.31	5.46	5.90	85.72	10.42
6	Ear length (cm)	17.53 ± 0 .83	11.008**	9.82	12.83	9.82	12.83	58.58	15.49
7	Ear girth (cm)	11.62 ± 0.53	2.52**	6.40	10.23	6.40	10.23	39.15	8.25
8	Number of kernels per row	27.69 ± 1.30	12.21**	2.36	7.49	5.55	9.88	31.55	6.42
9	Number of kernel rows per ear	12.94 ± 0.62	2.90**	0.57	1.75	5.85	10.24	32.63	6.88
10	Iron content (ppm)	43.52 ± 0.44	73.29**	24.23	24.83	11.31	11.45	97.59	23.02
11	Zinc content (ppm)	36.90 ± 0.48	103.13**	34.13	34.85	15.83	15.99	97.95	32.28
12	100 grain weight (g)	31.22 ± 1.14	20.95**	5.66	9.63	7.62	9.93	58.82	12.04
13	Harvest index	0.36 ± 0 .01	0.0026**	0.0007	0.0013	7.31	9.96	53.85	11.05
14	Grain yield (kg/ha)	2610.30 ± 97.55	1151609**	28552.75	374352	23.43	24.31	92.91	46.54

**Table 4. Analysis of variance (ANOVA)**

S. No	Character	Mean sum of squares				CV	CD at 5%
		Replication (df=2)	Treatment (df=24)	Error (df=48)			
1	Plant height	7.65	381.57**	148.63	8.64	20.07	
2	Ear height	2.69	94.39 **	22.00	6.42	7.72	
3	Days to 50 % tasseling	0.009	100.92**	5.05	2.25	3.69	
4	Days to 50% silking	0.007	102.95 **	5.44	2.67	3.83	
5	Days to 75% brown husk	1.99	150.15**	7.90	2.23	4.61	
6	Ear length	0.16	11.008**	100.80	8.65	2.38	
7	Ear girth	0.06	2.52**	0.86	7.9	3.73	
8	Number of kernels per row	0.35	12.21**	5.12	8.17	1.79	
9	Number of kernel rows per ear	3.21	2.90**	1.18	8.69	1.27	

10	Iron content	0.22	73.29**	0.59	1.72	1.39
11	Zinc content	0.05	103.13**	0.71	2.29	3.28
12	100 grain weight	1.02	20.95**	3.96	6.38	3.2
13	Harvest index	0.00014	0.0026**	0.0005	7.69	0.04
14	Grain yield	2561	1151609**	28553	6.37	278.26

\*\* Significant at  $P=0.01$

**Table 5. Mean inter and intra cluster distances among eight cluster in maize for 25 inbred lines**

Cluster	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
I	39.14	44.22	54.76	53.56	58.82	55.09	48.68	75.76
II		0.00	39.15	70.05	45.06	32.11	67.24	71.39
III			44.66	83.43	69.17	55.48	59.68	61.30
IV				29.96	74.36	83.92	65.29	95.62
V					0.00	34.91	86.00	110.86
VI						0.00	79.72	96.83
VII							0.00	65.37
VIII								0.00

**Table 6. Clustering pattern of 25 maize inbred lines on the basis of  $D^2$  statistics**

Cluster	No. of Inbred Lines Within Cluster	Inbred Lines in Cluster
I	12	CM-142, P-3404-57, (CA145021CA14509) F2-32, DTPYC <sub>9</sub> -F <sub>46</sub> -3-4-1-1-B*-8, CM-210, LM-13, HKI-323-B, IC296599, CML-117-3-4-1-1-4-1, P-3396-51, CML224, EC-618219.
II	1	POP-65
III	6	BML-6, CM-202, Temp x Trop (HO)QPM-BBB-23-BBB, CML-41, HKI 163, DTPWC <sub>9</sub> -F <sub>24</sub> -2-3-1-3-2-1-2-B*9
IV	2	2006-6-CML-471, 8-12-38-IEC-618960
V	1	G18seqC <sub>5</sub> F <sub>105</sub> -1-1-1-2-BB-B2-B4
VI	1	21-113-CML-411
VII	1	(CML-165xK145)-B-11-3-BB-1-B*7
VIII	1	HKI-1105

**Table 7. Cluster mean for fourteen traits in maize inbred lines**

Cluster	PH (cm)	EH (cm)	DTT	DTS	DBH	EL (cm)	EG (cm)	NKR	NKRE	Fe (ppm)	Zn (ppm)	100-GW	HI	GY
I	152.8	74.2	93.5	101.5	128.2	17.6	11.5	27.4	13.1	44.3	36.8	31.0	0.36	2643.5
II	147.5	72.8	93.9	95.9	122.2	19.1	11.7	22.8	11.7	45.0	36.2	29.9	0.33	1867.5
III	145.1	75.5	90.0	92.0	118.8	17.0	11.4	27.6	12.8	44.8	38.9	31.8	0.37	2676.0
IV	146.8	70.2	101.4	105.4	131.0	17.6	12.0	29.2	13.1	32.5	24.5	27.4	0.38	2672.1
V	136.0	62.3	102.1	105.1	128.7	14.6	10.1	25.8	10.2	42.0	35.4	32.7	0.36	1320.1
VI	133.0	65.5	95.7	97.7	124.7	15.1	12.3	29.5	14.0	49.3	43.3	32.5	0.28	1477.5
VII	168.6	72.6	97.0	99.0	125.9	20.1	13.5	31.0	13.7	38.0	42.0	34.1	0.40	3933.9
VIII	148.5	72.9	87.1	89.1	115.9	20.7	12.7	30.6	13.5	48.6	42.0	27.5	0.41	3535.7

**Table 8. Contribution percentage of 14 characters towards genetic divergence**

S.no	Source	Times ranked 1 <sup>st</sup>	Contribution %
1	Plant height (cm)	1	0.65
2	Ear height (cm)	10	6.35
3	Days to 50 % tasseling	8	5.22
4	Days to 50 silking	11	7.18
5	Days to 75% brown husk	35	22.87
6	Ear length(cm)	14	9.15
7	Ear girth (cm)	10	6.53
8	Number of kernels per row	1	0.65
9	Number of kernel rows per ear	10	6.53
10	Iron content (ppm)	12	7.84
11	Zinc content (ppm)	18	11.76
12	100 grain weight (g)	14	9.15
13	Harvest index	5	3.26
14	Grain yield (kg/ha)	4	2.61

It was found that the mean intra cluster distance varied from 29.96 to 44.66. The maximum intra cluster distance exhibited in cluster III (44.66), followed by cluster I (39.14), cluster IV (29.96), and cluster VIII (0.00). However, there was no intra cluster distance observed in cluster II, cluster V, cluster VI, cluster VII, and cluster VIII. Based on  $D^2$  values, cluster I is (44.22) having distance from cluster II, and cluster VIII is (75.76) distant from cluster I. Cluster II has the least divergence (32.11) with cluster VI, and cluster II has the most distant with cluster VIII (71.39). Cluster III was close to cluster VI (55.48), while cluster IV (83.43) was far away. There was the greatest divergence with cluster IV and cluster VIII (95.62) and the greatest closeness between cluster IV and cluster VII (65.29). There was closeness between cluster V and cluster VI (34.91), and a high degree of diversity between cluster VIII and cluster V (110.86). Cluster VI showed closeness with cluster VI (79.72) and wide diversity with cluster VIII (96.83). The most distant cluster from cluster VII is cluster VIII (65.37) (Table 5).

Expression of different characters on the basis of cluster mean values of used inbred lines provided in Table 7. Based on desirable nature of studied characters like for dwarfness the inbred lines from the cluster III may be used as parent for further cross breeding programme to reduce the maturity duration. In the same way inbred line in cluster VIII, revealed long ear length and inbred line in cluster VII had highest ear girth may be exploited as parent for further cross breeding programme for using as yield contributing trait. For developing hybrid with a greater number of kernel rows the genotype in cluster I may be used as a parent in further

crossing programme in the same way for developing hybrid with a greater number of kernels per row cluster VII may be used as parent. Likewise, the trait 100 grain weight, harvest index and grain yield, inbred lines in cluster III, VIII, VII viz G1, G13, G23 with 35.67, 0.41, 3933.91 per se performance may be used as parent for further crossing programme. In case of quality parameter such as iron and zinc content contents, the inbred lines in cluster VI may be used as a parent for further quality improvement.

In terms of percent contribution of fourteen character to total divergence were observed maximum for days to 75% brown husk, followed by zinc content, 100 grain weight, ear length, iron content, days to 50% silking, ear girth, number of kernel rows per ear, days to 50% tasseling, harvest index, grain yield, plant height, number of kernels per row. Similar approach was opted by Anderson et al., [24] and Rao et al., [25] regarding contribution of days to husking and silking, however, Ganesan et al., [26] and Rigon et al., [27], Antony et al., [21] exhibited higher percent contribution of yield contributing traits for divergence in maize [28-31].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The 25 inbred lines were divided into 8 clusters using Tochers' method. There was a maximum inter cluster distance (110.86) between clusters VI (21-113-CML-411) and cluster VIII (HKI-1105), indicating that these clusters have a high degree of genotype diversity among themselves. Hence, the crosses 21-113-CMLx HKI-1105 and (CML-165xK145)-B-11-3-BB are likely to produce heterotopic hybrids or transgressive sergeants.



Further, these Genotypes should be tested for their combining ability and gene action using different mating designs to produce hybrids based on the type of gene action involved. Among all combination, number of inbred lines combination ranked highest for days to 75% brown husk followed by zinc content, ear girth, 100 grain weight, number of kernel rows / ear. Maximum contribution of all traits toward genetic divergence showed by, days to 75% brown husk, zinc content, ear length, and grain yield suggesting scope for improvement and assessment of genetic diversity in maize inbred lines. On the basis of cluster mean performance, the inbred line (CML-165xK145)-B-11-3-BB-1-B\*<sub>7</sub> from cluster VII may be selected for trait ear girth and grain yield (kg/ha) and inbred lines P-3396-51 in cluster I for the trait iron content and inbred cluster VI 21-113-CML-411 for zinc content were identified as promising parents for their further utilization in maize breeding programme.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Vasal SK. Hybrid maize technology: Challenges and expanding possibilities for research in the next century. In: Proceeding 7th Asian Regional Maize Workshop, Los Banos, Philippines, 1998; 58-62(9):77-83.
- Magar BT, Acharya S, Gyawali B, Timilsena K, Upadhyaya J, Shrestha J. Genetic variability and trait association in maize (*Zea mays* L.) Varieties for growth and yield traits. *Heliyon*. 2021;7(9):e07939.
- Singh P, Narayanan SS. Biometrical techniques in plant breeding. Fifth ed. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India; 2013.
- Graham R, Senadhira D, Beebe S, Iglesias C, Monasterio I. Breeding for micronutrient density in edible portions of staple food crops: conventional approaches. *Field Crops Research*. 1999;60(1-2):57-80.
- Nzuve F, Githiri S, Mukunya DM, Gethi J. Genetic variability and correlation studies of GY and related agronomic traits in maize; 2014.
- Sharma R, Maloo SR, Joshi A. Genetic variability analysis in diverse maize genotypes (*Zea mays* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2014;5(3):545-551.
- Begum S, Ahmed A, Omy SH, Rohman MM, Amiruzzaman M. Genetic variability, character association and path analysis in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2016;41(1):173-182.
- Jilo T, Tulu L. Association and path coefficient analysis among GY and related traits in Ethiopian maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines. *African Journal of Plant Science*. 2019;13(9):264-272.
- Rai R, Khanal P, Chaudhary P, Dhital R. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for growth, yield and yield related traits in maize genotypes. *Journal of Agriculture and Applied Biology*. 2021;2(2):96-104.
- Hepziba SJ, Geetha K, Ibrahim SM. Evaluation of genetic diversity, variability, character association and path analysis in diverse inbreds of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2013;4(1):1067-1072.
- Vashistha A, Dixit NN, Sharma SK, Marker S. Studies on heritability and genetic advance estimate in maize genotypes. *Bioscience Discovery*. 2013;4(2):165-168.
- Sandeep S, Bharathi M, Reddy VN, Eswari KB. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance studies in inbreds of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *EEC*. 2015;2015: 278.
- Reddy VR, Jabeen F, Sudarshan MR, Rao AS. Studies on genetic variability, heritability, correlation and path analysis in maize (*Zea mays* L.) over locations. *International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology*. 2012;4(1):196-199.
- Bartaula S, Panthi U, Timilsena K, Acharya SS, Shrestha J. Variability, heritability and genetic advance of maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes. *Research in Agriculture Livestock and Fisheries*. 2019;6(2):163-169.
- Matin MQI, Uddin MS, Rohman MM, Amiruzzaman M, Azad AK, Banik BR. Genetic variability and path analysis

- studies in hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.). American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2017;8(12):3101-3109.
16. Hosamani M, Kuchanur PH, Mahiboobsa M, Siddhesh R. Genetic variability for yield and yield attributing traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(3):1964-1966.
  17. Sravani D, Bharathi D, Reddi Sekhar M, Kumar N. Estimation of genetic parameters for quantitative traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.) Inbred Lines. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(8):501-503
  18. Rai R, Khanal P, Chaudhary P, Dhital R. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for growth, yield and yield related traits in maize genotypes. Journal of Agriculture and Applied Biology. 2021;2(2): 96-104.
  19. Mahalanobis PC. On the generalized distance, Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. (India). 1936; 11(1):49-55.
  20. Kage U, Madalageri D, Malakannavar L, Ganagashetty P. Genetic diversity studies in newly derived inbred lines of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Molecular Plant Breeding. 2013;4.
  21. Antony BJ, Kachapur RM, Naidu GK, Harlapur SI. Genetic diversity study among maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines. J Farm Sci. 2021;34:352-356.
  22. Singh P, Sain D, Dwivedi VK, Kumar Y, Sangwan O. Genetic divergence studies in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Annals of Agri. Bio. Res. 2005;10(1): 43-46
  23. Hassan AA, Abdikadir M, Hasan M, Azad AK, Hasanuzzaman M. Genetic variability and diversity studies in maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines. IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2018; 11(11): 69-76.
  24. Anderson E. A semi graphical method for the analysis of complex problems. Perspectives in Mathematics Academy of Sciences. Washington. 1957;43:923-927.
  25. Rao CR. Advanced statistical methods in biometrical research. Jhon Wiley and Sons, New .1952;York1:357-363.
  26. Ganesan KN, Nallathambi G, Thura SN, Tamilarasi PM. Genetic divergence analysis in indigenous maize germplasms (*Zea mays* L.). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2010;1(4):1241- 1243.
  27. Rigon JPG, Capuani S, Rigon CAG. Genetic divergence among maize hybrids by morphological descriptors. Bragantia. 2015;74(2):156-160.
  28. Akintunde, Adewole, Gbadebo Olaoye, Samuel Adelowo Olakojo. Assessment of diversity among tropical and subtropical maize inbreds based on morphological traits and carotenoid content. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2019;30(4):1-14. Available:<https://doi.org/10.9734/JEAI/2019/46290>.
  29. Almeida, Rafael Nunes de, André Soares de Castro. Potential of grain physical traits to the study of variability in maize. Journal of Scientific Research and Reports 2022;28 (11):153-60. Available:<https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2022/v28i111712>.
  30. Gómez JA, Bellon MR, Smale M. A regional analysis of maize biological diversity in Southeastern Guanajuato, Mexico. Economic Botany. 2000:60-72. Available:<https://www.jstor.org/stable/4256249>
  31. Prasanna BM. Diversity in global maize germplasm: Characterization and utilization. Journal of Biosciences. 2012;37 (5):843-55. Available:<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12038-012-9227-1>

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120124>