

*British Biotechnology Journal 4(2): 185-203, 2014*



**SCIENCEDOMAIN** *international* www.sciencedomain.org

# **Structural Analysis and Docking of Stilbene Synthase Protein from Chinese Grape Vine** *Vitis pseudoreticulata*

**K. Divya<sup>1</sup> , G. Venkata Ramana<sup>1</sup> and K. V. Chaitanya1\***

*<sup>1</sup>Department of Biotechnology, GITAM Institute of Technology, GITAM University, Visakhapatnam-530045, India.*

## *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors have contributed equally for the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

*Original Research Article*

*Received 18th March 2013 Accepted 5 th October 2013 Published 13th January 2014*

# **ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** The present work aims to perform the molecular modeling of stilbene synthase protein from Chinese grape vine *Vitis pseudoreticulata.*

**Place and Duration of Study:** The study has been performed in the Department of Biotechnology, GITAM Institute of Technology, GITAM University, Visakhapatnam, India for a period of 8 months.

**Methodology:** The sequence of *Vitis* STS protein was obtained by BLAST search from DFCI web server using Arabidopsis Stilbene synthase sequence. To read the amino acid pattern among these sequences, Multiple Sequence alignment have been performed using clustal W. The secondary and 3D structures were predicted for the protein and the stability of the structures was determined through Ramachandran plot and PROSA analysis. 3D structure obtained using Swiss model workspace was utilized for docking studies.

**Results:** In the multiple sequence alignment except Gossypium and Ipomea remaining sequences were aligning well. The secondary structure of the protein is possessing helices, coils and sheets respectively and most of the protein structure is coiled. The predicted model was subjected to evaluation by PROSA with a Z score of -10.1. Ramachandran plot revealed that the predicted that 96.6% residues were in favoured region, 2.6% were in allowed region and 0.8% were in outlier region proving that the predicted model is acceptable. Docking STS protein with secondary metabolite ligands

\_

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Email: viswanatha.chaitanya@gmail.com;*

elucidated that anethole, ascorbic acid and arbutin have good binding affinity. **Conclusion:** The structural model of *Vitis pseudoreticulata* stilbene synthase has been determined, and *in silico* docking studies have elucidated that this protein has docked with some of the essential secondary metabolites like anethole, ascorbic acid and arbutin which might enhance the performance when they enter into a biological system.

*Keywords: Stilbene synthase; Vitis pesudoreticulata; molecular modeling; docking.*

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Stilbenes are one of the important members in the phytoalexin group of secondary metabolites, produced in grapevines, which has numerous remarkable biological properties [1]. Resveratrol (3,5,4'-trihydroxystilbene) is the main representative of this group located in the skin of grape berries, involved in the inhibition of the cellular events associated with carcinogenesis, neurodegenerative disorders, inflammation, reported to possess antioxidant properties, cardio protective properties and is capable of inhibiting the LDL oxidation, during the initial stages pathogenesis and atheroscelrosis [2]. Resveratrol shows potent antiviral activity against various families of DNA and RNA viruses by inhibiting the growth of vaccinia virus [3]. Oxyresveratrol shows inhibitory activity in HSV-1 and in VZV infections [4].

Resveratrol is synthesized *via* the well-characterized phenylalanine/polymalonate pathway, the key step of which is catalyzed by the enzyme stilbene synthase (STS). Stilbene synthase belongs to the type III group of the polyketide synthase enzyme super family which converts one molecule of p-coumaroyl-CoA and three molecules of malonyl-CoA into 3,4',5 trihydroxystilbene or resveratrol. This enzyme is a dimer with a molecular weight 90 kDa having an iso-electric point (pI) of 4.8. A conserved cysteine residue, located in the central section of the protein has been shown to be essential for the catalytic activity of STS enzyme and represents the binding site for the p-coumaroyl-CoA starting substrate [5].

The first grapevine STS gene was cloned from *Vitis vinifera* cv. Optima, and functional characterization has been studied in *Escherichia coli* [6]. Till date, 43 genes encoding STS in grapevine have been identified among which 20 of these genes were found to be expressed in response to a pathogen attack [7]. Chinese native *Vitis pseudoreticulata* represent a valuable genetic resource for grapevine disease resistance breeding and is a valuable resource of STS genes. The structure of the STS protein has not been solved in the *vitis* species. In the present study, we report the molecular structure of the stibene synthase protein from *Vitis pseudoreticulata* and its docking studies to determine the binding abilities of this protein, which has also been performed *in Silico* for identifying the co-factors which are interacting with this protein.

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## **2.1 Sequence Information**

The sequences of the stilbene synthase gene for *Vitis pseudoreticulata* (ABF06886.1) were obtained from genebank by BLAST search with Stilbene synthase of Arabidopsis STS sequence (AT1G02050.1) obtained from Arabidopsis.org as source. Among these sequences *Vitis psuedoreticulata* sequence with accession TC146050 is the full length cDNA sequence of VpSTS6 and has been deposited in GenBank with Acc. No. DQ445490

[8]. These sequences were translated into the amino acid sequences and their ORFs were determined.

## **2.2 Secondary Structure Prediction**

The secondary structure prediction of the *Vitis pseudoreticulata* STS protein was carried out using protein structure prediction server PSIPRED to identify the similarities among their protein structures [9].

## **2.3 Ramachandran Plot**

The core of the predicted protein structure or allowed areas in the plot showing the preferred regions for psi/phi angle pairs for residues in *Vitis pseudoreticulata* stibene synthase protein was determined through Ramachandran plot using RAMPAGE server [10].

## **2.4 3D Structure Prediction**

Swiss model work space was used in the prediction of 3D Structure of STS Protein based on sequence to profile search using an adapted HH Search protocol for protein structure prediction. Query sequence was submitted in alignment mode [11].

## **2.5 3D Structure Validation**

3D structure obtained through Swiss model was crosschecked for the validity using PDBsum, which summarizes the data regarding the experimentally determined structural model in PDB [12]. This database will provide a comprehensive over view of all the contents of each structure deposited in Protein data Bank.

#### **2.6 Docking Studies of** *Vitis pseudoreticulata* **Protein**

The prediction of ligand interactions with *Vitis pseudoreticulata* stilbene synthase protein was performed through Molegro Virtual Docker, which handles all aspects of the docking process from preparation of the molecules to determination of the potential binding sites of the target protein and prediction of the binding modes of the ligands [13].

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## **3.1 Multiple Sequence Alignment**

Clustal W was conducted with the result of the protein sequences after BLAST search with 9 plant species and no major gaps were found after aligning the mentioned sequences (Annex 1). Except Gossypium TC260016 and Ipomea TC4048 remaining sequences exhibited good alignment pattern. Ipomea TC 4048 is the only sequence that have major gaps and variations in the pattern of alignment indicating it is a sequence with minor similarity among the considered sequences. When comparative studies on different STS *Vitis* species was conducted deletions, single nucleotide changes and insertions were observed between the base pairs of 780 and 960. However, the rest of the sequence is conserved [14]. Variations among the considered sequences are limited to the first 10 amino acids except for *Ipomea* TC4048 and *Gossypium* TC260016. Gossypium showed a great dissimilarity in the first 80AA and latter aligned well with the remaining part of the sequences, where as *Ipomea* TC4048 exhibited such variations throughout the alignment except in the amino acids from 161 to 240. Rest of the alignment is highly conserved showing their unique origin. The protein of other six STS genes considered fo this study were exhibiting 94% to 99% homology illustrating their similarity in function [5 ].

#### .**3.2 Secondary Structure of STS Protein**

Proteins are the most complex chemical entities in nature comprising of a large number of atoms, variable composition, convoluted topology and complex surface features, which make simple descriptions of protein structures almost impossible [15]. Secondary structure of a protein will play primordial role in bioinformatics for the drug research and development. But the secondary structures of all proteins were not yet predicted. The reason is some proteins may be novel proteins or there are no experimentally determined structures for the existing sequences to substantiate the requirements and to eliminate this dearth in the knowledge. Several databases are providing tools to model the secondary structures basing on their Fasta sequences. In the present study, the secondary structure of *Vitis pseudoreticulata* protein was predicted using PSIPRED, which gave clear information regarding its protein structure. First helix is from 4 to 10 and the second helix is from 33-44 in the protein similarly in 3,4,8,9,11,12,13 helixes also exhibit subtle differences either in inception or culmination of the helixes. Remaining helixes are in same position for both structures. In coils 4,5,6,9,12,13,14,16,18,19,22,23,24 and in strands at 2,3,4,6,8,11,12 have a one amino acid residue difference either in inception or culmination (Fig. 1 and Table 1).



#### **Table 1. The amino acid distribution of** *Vitis pseudoreticulata* **secondary structure**





#### **Fig. 1. Secondary structure prediction of** *Vitis pseudoreticulata* **STS protein**

The secondary structure indicates whether a given amino acid lies in a helix, strand or coil [16,17]. In the proposed structure most of the amino acid residues reside in the coil which indicates random coils are dominant rather than helix or strand. Helical structures are more prominent next to coils in the structure among which most of them are in αR region.

#### **3.3 3D Structure**

3D structure is highly essential for docking the protein with other ligands so that the affinity of a structure towards other structures could be deduced, which is needed in understanding the function of the protein during its interaction with other compounds. *Vitis psuedo reticulata* model based on the template 3tsyA was the result generated by the Swissmodel workspace. This template was found to be 4-coumaroyl-CoA ligase Stilbene synthase fusion protein of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Vitis vinifera* (Fig. 2)*.*



**Fig. 2. The 3D Structure model of** *Vitis psuedoreticulata* **STS protein**

## **3.4 Model Validation**

The predicted model has been subjected to the model validation using PDB sum. 3tsyA is the PDB code of proposed Xray diffraction model with a name 4-coumaroyl-coa-ligase stilbene synthase fusion protein with an identity with the sequence around 97.7%, which validates that the proposed template is correlating with the data (Table 2).





# **3.5 Model Evaluation by PROSA**

ProSA-web *z*-scores of all protein chains in PDB were determined by X-ray crystallography (light blue) or NMR spectroscopy (dark blue) with respect to their length. The plot shows only chains with less than 1000 residues and a *z*-score ≤ 10. The *z*-score of *Vitis pseudoreticulata* was highlighted as large black dots in the light blue region representing that the structures are X-ray crystallography structures with a Z score value of -10.1 (Fig. 3). Z score plots elucidated that structure of *Vitis pseudoreticulata* possess model quality [18].



**Fig. 3. PROSA (Protein Structure Analysis)-Z Plot of** *Vitis pseudoreticulata* **stilbene synthase protein structure protein structure**

# **3.6 Ramachandran Plot Analysis**

Using RAMPAGE the modeled 3D structure was evaluated [19]. RAMPAGE facilitates new mode of picturising the Ramachandran plot where a single plot can be classified into four different plots based on the amino acid base pair in the structure. General, Glycine, Pre pro and Proline are the four different plots which clearly elucidates the position of general amino acids, glycine and proline residues respectively. All these four plots comprehensively viewed as a single complete Ramachandran plot. The Ramachandran plot shows the phi-psi torsion angles for all residues in the structure except those at the chain termini. Glycine residues are separately identified by triangles as these are not restricted to the regions of the plot appropriate to the other side chain types. The colouring/shading on the plot represents the different regions were as described by [20]. The darkest areas correspond to the "core" regions representing the most favourable combinations of phi-psi values. The percentage of residues in the "core" regions is one of the better guides to the stereochemical quality. The Ramachandran plot for *Vitis pseudoreticulata* using RAMPAGE, revealed that among the 386 residues, 373 (96.6%) were in favoured region, 10 (2.6%) were in allowed region and 3 (0.8%) were in outlier region proving again that the predicted model is acceptable (Fig. 4). 386 residues, 373 (96.6%) were in favoured region, 10 (2.6%) were in allowed region and 3<br>(0.8%) were in outlier region proving again that the predicted model is acceptable (Fig. 4).<br>Ramachandran plot for general, glycine, showed the glycine, pre-Pro and proline of *Vitis pseudoreticulata* falling under allowed regions and also those glycine residues falling in disallowed region (Fig. 4). The overall results provided in the study confirm that the predicted 3-Dimenrsional structure of *Vitis pseudoreticulata* is acceptable and of good quality. ing RAMPAGE the modeled 3D structure was evaluated [19]. RAMPAGE facilitates new<br>de of picturising the Ramachandran plot where a single plot can be classified into four<br>erent plots based on the amino acid base pair in the ified by triangles as these are not restricted to the regions of the plot represents the other side chain types. The colouring/shading on the plot represents the were as described by [20]. The darkest areas correspond to t plots based on the amino acid base pair in the structure. General,<br>ne are the four different plots which clearly elucidates the position ocine and proline residues respectively. All these four plots compret<br>le complete Ram





**Fig. 4. Ramachandran Plot of** *Vitis pseudoreticulata* **stilbene synthase protein secondary structure**

## **3.7 Docking of** *Vitis pseudoreticulata* **STS Protein**

Protein docking is molecular modeling technique, which predicts the position and orientation of ligand when it is bound to the specific protein or its receptor, which has a wide amount of applications in the pharmacy for identification of specific target [21]. In the present study, the stilbene synthase protein of *Vitis pseudoreticulata* was docked with the 19 different ligands (Fig. 5). The docking of protein was set up with 19 ligands for 5 runs and by the completion of docking we obtained 95 different poses (Fig. 5). For all the poses of 19 ligands, MolDock score, Rerank score, Interaction, H bond and Docking score were calculated which was tabulated and mentioned in Annexure 1. Among the 95 poses best poses depending on the Moldock score were selected and tabulated in Table 3.



**Fig. 5. Structural view of** *Vitis pseudoreticulata* **stilbene synthase docking**

Moldock score was the energy score and Rerank score is amalgamation of several factors such as Van der Waals forces, electrostatic interactions etc. Interaction in the table infers to the interaction energy between receptor and the ligand. H bond refers to Hydrogen bonding energy and the docking score was the score assigned to the ligand pose during docking. Only Lowest energy predictions were considered while refining the initial docking and accessing the docking result [22], since the protein complex stability will be high. Hence the poses extracted from the table of ligands from annexure have lowest energy scoring in the form of Moldock and Docking score rendering stable complex. In the selected poses anethole, ascorbic acid and arbutin found to have lowest energy scoring with good binding affinity with the receptor, which can be deduced by their Docking scores -73.0593, -69.0895 and -67.2462 along with caffeic acid, carvacrol, d'alpha terpineol , alpha terpinene and alpha phellandrene, which produced good docking affinity with the Vitis STS protein. Orientin, ethyl palmitate and betacarotene metabolites were not found to be in good poses since their moldock score and Docking scores are high in contrast to remaining poses (Table 3).

S.No	Ligand	<b>MolDock</b> Score	<b>Rerank</b> score	<b>Interaction</b>	<b>Hbond</b>	<b>Docking</b> score
1.	alpha cadinene	$-11.2268$	264.947	$-22.7842$	0	$-8.25899$
2.	alpha hellandrene	$-59.7907$	$-34.1215$	-66.9869	0	-58.0402
3.	alpha humulene	$-13.7914$	576.985	0.664821	0	$-11.1331$
4.	alpha terpinene	$-61.0945$	$-22.8404$	$-66.7354$	0	-59.1912
5.	anethole	-72.4321	$-32.6314$	$-77.611$	$-0.0905799$	-73.0953
6.	arbutin	-48.8852	336.656	$-45.9135$	$-8.26634$	$-67.2462$
7.	ascorbic acid	-53.6854	$-21.614$	-43.7066	$-1.2405$	-69.0895
8.	betacarotene	156.307	2292.75	141.028	0	162.449
9.	beta pinene	-7.7379	271.872	$-18.457$	0	-6.25486
10.	bicyclogermacrene	-4.43039	414.676	$-3.2389$	0	$-2.42886$
11.	caffeic acid	-63.5549	-51.9764	- 66.6725	$-1.37625$	- 66.3742
12.	carvacrol	$-61.9916$	33.0288	-70.3386	$-3.5096$	$-60.2448$
13.	chlorogenic acid	$-4.86094$	385.073	$-21.4736$	5.53634	$-36.3123$
14.	d'alpha terpineol	-57.513	69.7978	$-65.5694$	-4.44911	-56.4451
15.	ethylmyristate	8.04373	556.462	-16.0593	$-0.16131$	2.47012
16.	ethylpalmitate	11.4685	728.373	$-9.16122$	$-2.31852$	14.4594
17.	limonene	-61.3746	-10.6364	$-67.1619$	0	$-59.314$
18.	orientin	147.944	1575.04	143.189	16.8457	113.27
19.	terpynilacetate	-28.3059	195.79	-47.1153	$-0.535413$	$-35.3939$

**Table 3. The ligand poses illustrating MolDock scores and interactions after Docking**

# **4. CONCLUSION**

The structural analysis of proteins is important for understanding its function based on their positioning of specific amino acids at target sites. In the present study, the structural model of *Vitis pseudoreticulata* stilbene synthase has been determined, which provides an insight of its molecular function towards the understanding of its importance in the prevention of cardiovascular diseases and carcinogenesis. Further studies are in progress for comparative analysis and homology modeling of STS protein from medicinal plants.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The research in the lab of KVC is supported by the funding of DST, DBT and UGC, Govt. of India. VRG acknowledges DST for the Research Fellowship.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Alessandro V, Ian BD, Marianna F, Sara Z, Margherita L. Genome-wide analysis of the grapevine stilbene synthase multigenic family: genomic organization and expression profiles upon biotic and abiotic stresses. BMC Plant Biology. 2012;12(8):130-151.
- 2. Marques FZ, Markus MA, Morris BJ. Resveratrol: cellular actions of a potent natural chemical that confers a diversity of health benefits. International journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology. 2009;41(11):2125-2128.
- 3. Cheltsov AV, Aoyagi M, Aleshin A, Yu EC, Gilliland T, Zhai D, Bobkov AA, Reed JC, Liddington RC, Abagyan R. Vaccinia virus virulence factor N1L is a novel promising target for antiviral therapeutic intervention. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*.* 2010;53(10):3899–3906
- 4. Sasivimolphan P, Lipipun V, Likhitwitayawuid K, Takemoto M, ,Pramyothin P, Hattori M, Shiraki K. Inhibitory activity of oxyresveratrol on wild-type and drug-resistant varicella-zoster virus replication in vitro. Antiviral Research. 2009;84(1):95–97.
- 5. Weirong X, Yihe Y, Qi Z, Jiahua D, Lingmin D, Xiaoqing X, Yan X, Chaohong, Z, Yuejin W. Expression pattern, genomic structure, and promoter analysis of the gene encoding stilbene synthase from Chinese wild Vitis pseudoreticulata. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2011;62(8):2745–2761.
- 6. Melchior F, Kindl H. Grapevine stilbene synthase cDNA only slightly differing from chalcone synthase cDNA is expressed in *Escherichia coli* into a catalytically active enzyme. FEBS Letters. 1990;268(1):17–20.
- 7. Jaillon O, Aury JM, Noel B, et al. The grapevine genome sequence suggests ancestral hexaploidization in major angiosperm phyla. Nature; 2007;449(7161):463- 467.
- 8. Xiping W, Yuejin W, Chaohong Z and Junke Z, Isolation and characterization of cDNA encoding stilbene synthases. Vitis. 2007;46(3):104–109.
- 9. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Research. 1997;25(17):3389-3402.
- 10. Ramachandran GN, Ramakrishnan C, Sasisekharan V. Stereochemistry of polypeptide chain configurations. Journal of Molecular Biology. 1963;7(1):95-99.
- 11. Bordoli L, Kiefer F, Arnold K, Benkert P, Battey J and Schwede T. Protein structure homology modelling using SWISS-MODEL Workspace. Nature Protocols. 2009;4(1):1- 13.
- 12. Laskowski RA. PDBsum new things. Nucleic Acids Research.2009;37(Database issue): D355–D359.
- 13. Thomsen R, Christensen MH. MolDock: A new technique for high-accuracy molecular docking. Journal of Medical Chemistry. 2006;49(11):3315-3321.
- 14. Huang H, Lu J, Hunter W, Litz RE, Scorza R. Comparative Analysis of Stilbene Synthase Genes among Vitis Species. 2007;738(1):755-758.
- 15. Ingale AG, Chikhale NJ. (2010) Prediction of 3D structure of paralytic insecticidal toxin (ITX-1) of *Tegenaria agrestis* (Hobo Spider). Journal of Data Mining, Genomics and Proteomics. 2010;1(1):102-104.
- 16. Jyotsna C, Ashish P, Shailendra G, Verma MK. Homology modelling and binding site identification of 1 deoxyd- xylulose 5 phosphate reductoisomerase of *Plasmodium falciparum*: new drug target for *Plasmodium falciparum.* International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology. 2010;2(8):3468-3472.
- 17. Ojeiru FE, Kazuya T, Yuki H, Mohammed SM and Shunsuke M. Circular Dichroism Studies on C-terminal Zinc Finger Domain of Transcription Factor GATA-2. Yonago Acta medica. 2010;53(1):25–28.
- 18. Wiederstein M, Sippl MJ. ProSA-web: interactive web service for the recognition of errors in three-dimensional structures of proteins. Nucleic Acids Research*.* 2007;35. (web server issue): W407-W410.
- 19. Lovell SC, Davis IW, Arendall WB et al. Structure validation by C C $\alpha$  geometry:  $\varphi, \psi$  and C β deviation. Proteins: Structure, Function, and Genetics. 2003;50(3):437–450.
- 20. Morris AL, MacArthur MW, Hutchinson EG, Thornton JM. Stereochemical quality of protein structure coordinates. Proteins. 1992;12(1):345–364.
- 21. Cerqueira NM, Fernandes PA, Eriksson LA, Ramos MJ. MADAMM: A multistaged docking with an automated molecular modeling protocol. Proteins: Structure, Function and Bioinformatics. 2009;74(1):192–206.
- 22. Vijayalakshmi C, Tom LB, Juan F-R. Efficient restraints for protein-protein docking by comparison of observed amino acid substitution patterns with those predicted from local environment. Journal of Molecular Biology. 2006;357(5):1669–1682.

# **ANNEXURE**

# **Multiple Sequence Alignment**

## **CLUSTAL 2.1 multiple sequence alignment**



```
Arabidopsis_TC373951 QDIVVVEVPKLGKEAAVKAIKEWGQPKSKITHLVFCTTSGVDMPGADYQL<br>Arabidopsis_TC372306 QDIVVVEVPKLGKEAAVKAIKEWGQPKSKITHVVFCTTSGVDMPGADYQL
Arabidopsis_TC372306 QDIVVVEVPKLGKEAAVKAIKEWGQPKSKITHVVFCTTSGVDMPGADYQL<br>Gossypium TC260016 QDIVVVEVPKLGKEAATKAIKEWGHPKSKITHLVFCTTSGVDMPGADYQL
Gossypium_TC260016 QDIVVVEVPKLGKEAATKAIKEWGHPKSKITHLVFCTTSGVDMPGADYQL<br>Helianthus_TC40680 ODVVVVEVPKLGKEAAIKAIKEWGYPKSKITHLVFCTTSGVDMPGADYOL
Helianthus_TC40680 QDVVVVEVPKLGKEAAIKAIKEWGYPKSKITHLVFCTTSGVDMPGADYQL<br>Oryza TC488917 QDIVVVEVPKLGKAAAQKAIKEWGQPRSRITHLVFCTTSGVDMPGADYQL
Oryza_TC488917 QDIVVVEVPKLGKAAAQKAIKEWGQPRSRITHLVFCTTSGVDMPGADYQL
Oryza_TC494384 QDMVVAEVPKLGKAAAEEAIKEWGQPMSRITHLVFCTTNGVDMPGADYQV
Helianthus_TC39714 QDIVVVEVPKLGKEAATRAIKEWGQPKSKITHLVFCTTSGVDMPGADYQL
Helianthus_TC39714 QDIVVVEVPKLGKEAATRAIKEWGQPKSKITHLVFCTTSGVDMPGADYQL<br>Ipomoea TC4048 MLFETMEGTSLSKWNVRPTSRRWPSMAPQLSGASVCAAETS--------
                                    . . * . *.. . : :.* ..:: .*::.
pseudoreticulata_TC146050 ANLLGLETSVRRVMLYHQGCYAGGSVLRTAKDLAENNAGARVLVVCSEIT
Vitis_TC144127 ANLLGLEPSVRRVMLYHQGCYAGGTVLRTAKDLAENNAGARVLVVCSEIT
Vitis_TC152941        ANLLGLETSVRRVMLYHQGCYAGGTVLRTAKDLAENNAGARVLVVCSEIT<br>Medicago_TC177640       IKLLNLNPSTKRFMLYHQGCYAGGTVLRLAKDLAENNIGARVLVVCSEIT
                             IKLLNLNPSTKRFMLYHQGCYAGGTVLRLAKDLAENNIGARVLVVCSEIT
Lycopersicon_TC217840 TKLLGLRPSVKRLMMYQQGCFAGGTVIRLAKDLAENNKGARVLVVCSEIT
Lycopersicon_TC217706 AKLLGLRPSVKRLMMYQQGCFAGGTVLRLAKDLAENNKGARVLVVCSEIT
Ipomoea_TC623 TKLLGLQPSVKRFMMYQQGCFAGGTVIRLAKDLAENNKGARVLVVCSEIT
Gossypium_TC229867 TKLLGLRPSVKRLMMYQQGCFAGGTVLRVAKDLAENNKGARVLVVCSEIT
Glycine_TC434240 TKQLGLRPYVKRYMMYQQGCFAGGTVLRLAKDLAENNKGARVLVVCSEIT<br>Medicago_TC200217 TKLLGLRPYVKRYMMYQQGCFAGGTVLRLAKDLAENNKGARVLVVCSEVT
Medicago_TC200217 TKLLGLRPYVKRYMMYQQGCFAGGTVLRLAKDLAENNKGARVLVVCSEVT<br>Glycine TC425486 TKLLGLRPSVKRYMMYQQGCFAGGTVLRLAKDLAENNKGARVLVVCSEIT
Glycine_TC425486 TKLLGLRPSVKRYMMYQQGCFAGGTVLRLAKDLAENNKGARVLVVCSEIT
Arabidopsis_TC373951 TKLLGLRPSVKRLMMYQQGCYAGGTVLRLAKDLAENNRGARVLVVCSEIT
Arabidopsis_TC372306 TKLLGLRPSVKRLMMYQQGCFAGGTVLRLAKDLAENNRGARVLVVCSEIT
Gossypium_TC260016 TKLLGLRPSVKRIMMYQQGCFAGGTVLRLAKDLAENNKDARVLVVCSEIT
Helianthus_TC40680 TKLLGLRPSVQRFMLYQQGCFAGGTVLRLAKDLAENNKGARVLVVCSEIT
Oryza_TC488917 AKMLGLRPNVNRLMMYQQGCFAGGTVLRVAKDLAENNRGARVLAVCSEIT<br>Oryza TC494384 AKMLGLPTSVKRLMMYQQGCFAGGTVLRVAKDLAENNRGARVLVVCSEIM
Oryza_TC494384 AKMLGLPTSVKRLMMYQQGCFAGGTVLRVAKDLAENNRGARVLVVCSEIM
Helianthus_TC39714 TKLLGLRSSVKRFMMYQQGCFAGGTVLRMAKDLAENNKGARVLVVCSEIT
                            Ipomoea_TC4048 ---------------WNSGFSAGGGVG------ADDDRGG---------S
                                                  ::.* *** *
pseudoreticulata_TC146050 VVTFRGPSEDALDSLVGQALFGDGSAAVIVGSDP-DISIERPLFQLVSAA
Vitis_TC144127 VVTFRGPSEDALDSLVGQALFGDGSAAVIVGSDP-DISIERPLFQLVSAA
Vitis_TC152941 VVTFRGPSEDALDSLVGQALFGDGSAAVIVGSDP-DVSIERPLFQLVSAA
Medicago_TC177640 VVTFRGPNETHLDSLVGQALFGDGASSVIVGSNP-NTTLERPLFHLVSAS
Lycopersicon_TC217840 AVTFRGPSDTHLDSMVGQALFGDGAAAMIIGSDP-LPEVERPLFELVSAA
Lycopersicon_TC217706 AVTFRGPSESHLDSLVGQALFGDGAAAIIIGSDP-IIGVERPLFELVSAA
Ipomoea_TC623 AVTFRGPSDAHLDSLVGQALFGDGAAALIIGSDP-DPDLERPLFQLVSAA
GOSSYPIUM_TC229867 AVTFRGPSDTHLDSLVGQALFGDGAAAVIIGADP-VPEIEKPMFELVSAA<br>Glycine TC434240 AVTFRGPSDTHLDSLVGQALFGDGAAAVIVGSDP-IPQVEKPLYELVWTA
Glycine_TC434240 AVTFRGPSDTHLDSLVGQALFGDGAAAVIVGSDP-IPQVEKPLYELVWTA
Medicago_TC200217 AVTFRGPSDTHLDSLVGQALFGDGAAALIVGSDP-VPEIEKPIFEMVWTA
Glycine_TC425486 AVTFRGPTDTHLDSLVGQALFGDGAAAVIVGSDP-LP-VEKPLFQLVWTA
Arabidopsis_TC373951 AVTFRGPSDTHLDSLVGQALFSDGAAALIVGSDPDTSVGEKPIFEMVSAA
Arabidopsis_TC372306 AVTFRGPSDTHLDSLVGQALFSDGAAALIVGSDPDTSVGEKPIFEMVSAA
Gossypium_TC260016 AVTFRGPSDTHLDSLVGQALFADGAGAVIIGADPDSKT-ERPLYQFVSAA
Helianthus_TC40680 AVTFRGPSESHLDSLVGQALFGDGAAAIVVGSDP-DLDVERPLFEMVSAA<br>Oryza TC488917 AVTFRGPSESHLDSMVGQALFGDGAAAVIVGSDPDEAV-ERPLFQMVSAS
Oryza_TC488917 AVTFRGPSESHLDSMVGQALFGDGAAAVIVGSDPDEAV-ERPLFQMVSAS
Oryza_TC494384 AMAFRGPSESHLDSLVGHALFGDGAAAVIVGSDPDEAADERPLFQIVSAS
Helianthus_TC39714 AVTFRGPDDSHLDSLVGQALFGDGAAAIIVGSDP-LPDIEKPLFEIISAA
Ipomoea_TC4048 VAEQRLADEAVEVGLVGAAESDDG----DLGADD------EDAGGFVVLG
                                 . * . : .:** * ** :*:: . :: .
pseudoreticulata_TC146050 QTFIPNSAGAIAGNLREVGLTFHLWPNVPTLISENIEKCLTQAFDPLGIS<br>Vitis TC144127 QTFIPNSAGAIAGNLREVGLTFHLWPNVPTLISENIEKCLTQAFDPLGIS
Vitis_TC144127 QTFIPNSAGAIAGNLREVGLTFHLWPNVPTLISENIEKCLTQAFDPLGIS
Vitis<sup>_</sup>TC152941 QTFIPNSAGAIAGNLREVGLTFHLWPNVPTLISENIEKCLTQAFDPLGIS<br>Medicago TC177640 ETILPNSEGAIEGHLREVGLTFHLKDNVPSLIGENIEKSLEETFHPLGIT
Medicago_TC177640 ETILPNSEGAIEGHLREVGLTFHLKDNVPSLIGENIEKSLEETFHPLGIT
Lycopersicon_TC217840 QTLLPDSEGAIDGHLREVGLTFHLLKDVPGLISKNIEKSLIEAFQPLGIS
Lycopersicon_TC217706 QTLVPDSEGAIDGHLREVGLTFHLLKDVPGLISKNIEKSLLEAFQPLGIS
Ipomoea_TC623 QTILPDSGGAIDGHLREVGLTFHLLKDVPGLISKHIEKSLNEAFQPLGIH
Gossypium_TC229867       QTILPDSDGAIDGHLREVGLTFHLLKDVPGLISKNIEKSLVEAFQPLGIS<br>Glycine TC434240        QTIAPDSEGAIDGHLREVGLTFHLLKDVPGIVSKNIDKALFEAFNPLNIS
                           QTIAPDSEGAIDGHLREVGLTFHLLKDVPGIVSKNIDKALFEAFNPLNIS
```


Lycopersicon\_TC217706 VLHSVAA---------

Ipomoea\_TC623 VLHSVSA---------



VLRSVTL--------- $VLHSVPL---- VLHSVPL-----$ VLHSIPTRAN------VLHSLPTTVPTSTT--VLHSVPITAGAAA---VLHSVPITAAAPLIMQ VVLSSNVC--------Ipomoea\_TC4048 ----------------

VLHSVAA---------

## **Table of Poses obtained after docking**







*British Biotechnology Journal, 4(2): 185-203, 2014*

*© 2014 Divya et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=364&id=11&aid=3300*