

British Journal of Medicine & Medical Research 11(10): 1-6, 2016, Article no.BJMMR.20354 ISSN: 2231-0614, NLM ID: 101570965



SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

Health Risk Assessment of Water Polluted with Fluoride in the Mining Area in Southern Tunisia: The Case of the Region of Berka

Fatma Omrane^{1*}, Amina Ben Sâad², Younes Hamed^{1,3}, Moncef Khadhraoui¹, Boubaker Elleuch¹ and Imed Gargouri^{1,4*}

¹Laboratory of Water, Energy and Environment, National School of Engineering of Sfax (ENIS), University of Sfax, Tunisia. ²Regional Blood Transfusion Center of Gafsa, The Ministry of Health, Tunisia. ³Department of Geology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Gabes, Tunisia. ⁴Department of Occupational Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Sfax, Tunisia.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author FO wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors ABS and YH managed the literature searches and analyses of the study. Authors MK and BE co-managed the literature search. Author IG supervised the literature search as well as the drafting of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJMMR/2016/20354 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Thomas I. Nathaniel, Center for Natural and Health Sciences, Marywood University, PA, USA. (1) Anonymous, University of Carthage, Tunisia. (2) Harold Wilson Tumwitike Mapoma, University of Malawi, Malawi. (3) Shruti Murthy, Bangalore University, India. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/11952</u>

Case Study

Received 23rd July 2015 Accepted 6th October 2015 Published 23rd October 2015

ABSTRACT

Aims: As part of risk assessment, we explored health impacts of consuming polluted water with fluoride in an exposed population in the region of Berka in the mining area of Gafsa. The main objective of this study was to evaluate and prioritize the health risks of polluted water with fluoride by the method of Kinney. The secondary objective is to propose a corrective action plan. **Study Design:** Descriptive.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted in the southwest of Tunisia, in the mining area of south Gafsa (Moulares-Redayef basin) between February and June 2012.

*Corresponding authors: E-mail: omrannefatma@gmail.com, Imed.Gargouri@fmsf.rnu.tn

Methodology: The approach adopted in the Health Risk Assessment of water polluted with fluoride is one of the Ranking methods named the method of Kinney which classifies risks according to their severity. It consists on (1) Research of the identified hazards in the population concerned, (2) analyze them, (3) Develop a strategy and (4) Set priorities.

Results: Following this process of health risk evaluation of water pollution with fluoride, we have been able to show that over 50% of the population had presented dental fluorosis and 11% of our population had a very high risk score.

Conclusion: Secondary health risks to polluted water with fluoride were important in our study population and a corrective action plan was proposed. This encourages us to promote the dosage of fluoride in water and the updating of Tunisian standards for drinking waters.

Keywords: Water pollution; fluoride; risk assessment; method of kinney; prevention.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, environmental health and its issues have attracted more and more the concern of civil society, public authorities, policy makers and whistleblowers [1].

In his research work in hydrogeology, Hamed [2] showed some fluoride rates that exceeded the drinking water standards [3,4] in the region of Berka in the mining area of Gafsa. Fluoride in drinking water is our main source of it [5]. Different absorption levels of fluoride can be estimated by its concentration in the drinking water in various regions, food and beverages consumption, the use of toothpaste etc [5]. In the absence of specific measurements of the population, fluoride exposure is calculated from scenarios based on different lifestyles [5].

Frequent uptake of fluoride can cause osteoporosis and tooth decay. The fluoride can damage the kidneys, bones, nerves and muscles [6]. For this purpose, in this study, we have considered to assess the health risks of drinking polluted water with fluoride in the area of "Berka".

After presentation of the location and the study population, we present the adopted methodology "the method of Kinney" [7] which is one of the "ranking" methods used to classify risks according to their seriousness. For this purpose, we have: (1) prepared a questionnaire through which we collected the necessary data in our study; (2) prioritized potential health risks associated with exposure to fluoride; (3) and assess the health risks related to exposure to fluoride in drinking water.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Location of the Study

The study area is located in the southwest of Tunisia, in the mining area of south Gafsa (Moulares-Redayef basin). It covers an area of approximately 300 km². Due to the increasing water demand, the use of groundwater becomes very important. Such demand was caused by the installation of the phosphates industrial exploitation company complex (Compagnie de Phosphates de Gafsa - CPG), the rapid growth of population and the development of the agriculture (several irrigated areas). A portion of this basin (about 80 km²: Berka area) is contaminated by discharges from the phosphate mine waste lavatory of Moulares and Redayef and releases from the National Office of Sanitation (ONAS) [2].

The mining area contains a multilayer aquifer system. The main levels with hydrous potentials of this system (major water reservoirs) are represented by the formation of: (1) fractured limestone (carbonate) located in recharge areas (bordering areas), (2) friable sand localized in discharge zones (outlet).

The hydrogeology of this system is highly influenced by the discharges from phosphate mine waste lavatory. In the region of Berka [2], groundwater is used by shallow wells with less than 6 m depth.

The infiltration of discharges to water Table is promoted by the lithological nature of the land which is mainly sandy (high porosity exceeding 35%) [8]. In addition, the region is geographically located in a seismically active region [9]. The locals use groundwater mainly from the shallow water Table for drinking and in agriculture.

2.2 Study Population

According to the 2004 census, there were 24 487 inhabitants in Moulares. The region of Berka contains 250 inhabitants. It is a rural area. A primary school is located in the village center

educating young children who are a vulnerable exposed population to fluoride. It also has a medical dispensary type I, located 8 km from the delegation of Moulares. In order to assess the health risks of water polluted with fluoride in the region of Berka, we considered taking a sample population of 100 people distributed by age as follows: (1) pre-school child: less than 6 years; (2) school child: 6 to 15 years; (3) Young: 15 to 30 years; Adult: 30 to 50 years; Aged: over 50 years.

In this sample population of Berka, we introduced a pre-established questionnaire to gather necessary data required for the health risk assessment of water polluted with fluoride according to the Kinney's model [7].

2.3 Methodology

The used approach in health risk assessment of water polluted with fluoride consists in exploring identified hazards among the study population, analyze them, develop a strategy and set priorities. This approach, the method of "Kinney" [7] is one of the ranking methods that classify risks according to their severity.

This method from 1976 was named after its inventor, an American researcher; is probably one of the best known [10].

The kinney method is based on Tables giving values depending on three factors; the probability (P), the exposure frequency (F) and the effects (E). The risk index or the risk score (R) is numerically calculated by the following expression: $R = E \times F \times P$.

• The probability « P »

The probability (P) or the (mathematical) risk indicates a prediction and is assigned a reference number from 0.1 to 10 (Table 1.1).

• Frequency « F »

The frequency factor (F) gives an idea of the period of risk exposure. Exposure frequency factors vary from 0.5 à 10 (Table 1.2).

Effect « E »

The effect factor (E) indicates damages and possible consequences when the risk occurs. The scale is between 1 and 100 (Table 1.3).

Those risk scores are classified into five categories (Table 2).

Table 1.1. The probability

0.4	I familiar a sa Sarah fa
0,1	Hardiyconceivable
0,2	Almost impossible
0,5	Conceivable but unlikely
1	Unlikely but possible
3	Slightly common
6	Highly possible
10	Predictable

Table 1.2. The exposure frequency

0,5	Very rare (less than once/year)
1	Rare (annual)
2	Sometimes (monthly)
3	Occasional (weekly)
6	Regular (daily)
10	Continuous (permanant)

Table 1.3. Health effects

1	Small :benign dental fluorosis			
3	Important: goiter			
7	Severe: renal damage			
15	Very severe: bone fractures			
	(osteomalacia, osteoporosis)			
40	Grave: disablingfluorosis			
100	Very grave: neurological damage			

Table 2. Risk scores and indexes and potential preventative measures

Risk indexes	Risk	Risk scores	Preventative measures to be taken
R ≤ 20	Very low	1	Acceptable
20 < R ≤ 70	Possible	2	Attention required
70 < R ≤ 200	Substantial	3	Measures required
200 < R ≤ 400	Significant	4	Immediate improvement required
R > 400	Very Significant	5	Stop the exposure

3. RESULTS

3.1 Description of Study Population

Initially, we planned to take a random sample population of 100 people. During the first going out to the region of Berka, we were able to interview 60 subjects (Table 3). However, the security circumstances prevented us to question the remaining 40 subjects. Fifty-three subjects or 88% of the study population reside permanently in the region of Berka (including 34 are male or 56%; and 26 are female or 44%). The health status of individuals participated in this study was described as very good to very poor depending on the participant.

Table 3. Real study population « the selected sample »

Age	Ν	(%)
Pre-school child (< 6 years)	8	13
School child (6 à 15 years)	12	20
Young (15 à 30 years)	16	27
Adult : (30 à 50 years)	14	23
Aged (> 50 years)	10	17

3.2 Data Regarding Fluoride Exposure

Before its connection to the national water distribution utility (SONEDE), the population of Berka was consuming local well water. Currently, some people still use well water due to the difficult access to the public drinking-water distribution system. Fifty-one percent (51%) of our study population consumes mainly well water, the rest consumes tap water since 9 years.

3.3 Risk Assessment

The main clinical manifestations sought in our study population and mean values of risk indexes for each health effect are summarized in Table 4. The thyroid disorder, particularly the goiter was not detected in any of the participants in this study, thus, its mean risk score is low. Event though, few samples are affected by severe neurological damage, its mean risk scores is the highest (74.3) (Table 4).

Whatever the effect, the average risk indexes increases proportionally with age (Table 5). Indeed, when age increases, the duration of exposure become longer.

In our study population, we noted that 7 cases had a very high risk; 4 cases with very severe bone fragility and 3 cases with significant neurological damages. The risk score of bone fragility varies from 1 to 5 (Table 6).

For dental fluorosis, attention would be required; especially that 58% (35 cases) of our study population belongs to the category 2. Similarly for the problem of crippling skeletal fluorosis and neurological damage, since 55 to 70 % of our study population belongs to the category 2 (Table 6).

4. COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Health Risk Assessment

Our results match with those in the literature concerning secondary effects of fluoride. Indeed, in our study population, we noted particularly dental fluorosis, bone involvement and neurological damage [11-13].

The risk score was very significant in 7 cases (11% of our study population). This is a quit important number for a study population of 60 people. This tells us that special attention should be paid about this issue.

Presently, the National Research Council (NRC of USA) recommends the Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) to lower the permitted limit. This was due to a large body of evidence linking fluoride ingestion with increased rates of bone fracture, joint pain (arthritis) and tooth damage (dental fluorosis) [12]. To this end,

 Table 4. Secondary pathologies caused by fluoride exposure

Pathologies	Total		Men		Women		Risk indexes	
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Min-Max	Mean
Dental fluorosis	43	71.6	28	46.6	15	25.0	0.05-100	32.06
Goiter	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.15-1.8	1.24
Renal damage	8	13.3	7	11.6	1	1.6	0.35-42	7.93
Bone fragility *	18	30.0	9	15.0	9	15.0	0.75-900	64.05
Skeletal fluorosis	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2-40	16.53
Neurological damage	3	5.0	2	3.3	1	1.6	5-1000	74.33

*: (osteomalacia and osteoporosis)

By age group	Risks					
(years)	Dental	Goiter	Renal	Bone	Disabling	Neurological
	fluorosis		damage	fragility	skeletal fluorosis	damage
< 6 years	4.7	0.8	1.8	3.8	10.3	25.6
	(0.1-36)	(0.2-1.8)	(0.4-4.2)	(0.8-9)	(2-24)	(5-60)
6 to 15	20.7	1.4	3.4	7.2	19.2	47.9
	(0.1-60)	(0.2-1.8)	(0.4-4.2)	(0.8-9)	(2-24)	(5-60)
15 to 30	46.3	1.4	10.4	17.2	18.8	46.9
	(0.1-60)	(0.3-1.8)	(0.7- 42)	(1.5-90)	(4 - 24)	(10 - 60)
30 to 50	40.7	1.3	10.8	40	16.9	42.1
	(10-60)	(0.3-1.8)	(0.7-42)	(1.5-90)	(4-24)	(10-60)
> 50 years	32.8	1.1	10.1	289.2	14.4	234
	(10-100)	(0.3-1.8)	(0.7-42)	(1.5-900)	(4-40)	(10-1000)

Table 5. Risk indexes by age group

Table 6. Risk scores based on effects

	R*	SR**					
Dental fluorosis	Goiter	Renal damage	Bone fragility	Skeletal fluorosis	Neurological damage	_	
0	0	0	4	0	3	R>400	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	200 <r<400< td=""><td>4</td></r<400<>	4
1	0	0	10	0	0	70 <r<200< td=""><td>3</td></r<200<>	3
35	0	8	2	33	42	20 <r<70< td=""><td>2</td></r<70<>	2
24	60	52	44	27	15	R<20	1

* Risk ** Risk score

an important point was raised in the NRC of USA report. It tells that since the enamel's function is to protect the inside of the tooth from external attacks, dental fluorosis cannot be regarded as a purely aesthetic problem [12]. In fact, Dr. John Colquhoun [14] said that "Common sense tells us that if a poison circulating in the body of a child happens to damage the cells in developing teeth, there are probably other harmful effects". Common sense also tells us that since dental fluorosis affects more than 50% of our study population, corrective action must be taken as soon as possible.

4.2 Corrective Action Plan

Water is said to be potable when it satisfies a number of characteristics that make it safe for human consumption. Reference standards in this field vary over time and countries and according to the authority in charge in some countries. The concept of "drinkability" varies around the world. It is the result of historical, scientific and local cultural context. It determines the issue of access to water, since good quality of water is essential to the economic and human development.

An action plan must be set up to prevent any impacts that may result from the identified risk (water polluted with fluoride):

- On a collective level:
 - Submit water to people who have difficulties to access the public drinking-water distribution system.
 - Raise awareness of Berka's population of the harmful effects of polluted water consumption (well water) on their health.
- On an individual level:
 - Support subjects with a very high risk score.
 - A quantitative risk assessment of urinary fluoride concentrations may be practiced by taking measurements.

5. CONCLUSION

The health risk assessment of polluted water with fluoride, in the region of Berka was conducted by the method of Kinney. The latter allowed us to objectify risk assessment by prioritizing the health risks and assessing risks. The risk assessment takes into account the risk scores calculated from the product of the occurrence probability score of a harmful result, the exposure frequency and the severity of that consequence or effect. Thus, this will allow us to identify priorities for preventive actions to implement. As a result of this health risk assessment approach to water pollution with fluoride, we were able to show that over 50% of the study population had dental fluorosis and 11% of our population had a very important risk score.

An action plan must be set up to prevent any impacts that may result from the identified risk (water polluted with fluoride).

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Montestrucq L, Guye O. Regional observatory of health, the Rhône-Alpes. The quantitative health risk assessment (EQRS). Principle and Method; 2007. Available:<u>http://www.ors-rhonealpes.org/environnement/pdf/dossier1.pdf</u> (Accessed 26 Feb 2012)
- 2. Hamed Y. Hydrogeological, hydrochemical and Isotopic Characterization of aquifer systems of Moulares-Tamerza syncline (Tunisian Southest); 2009. French.
- French standards of water quality for human consumption. Decree of 11/01/07 concerning the limits and quality references of raw water and water for human consumption referred in Articles R. 1321-2, R. 1321-3, R. 1321-7 and R. 1321-38 of the Code of Public Health. Available:<u>http://ile-defrance.sante.gouv.fr/santenv/eau/regle/a07</u> 0111 l r.pdf (Accessed 26 Feb 2012)
- World Health Organization. WHO standards for drinking water. French.

Available:<u>http://www.lenntech.fr/application</u> s/potable/normes/normes-oms-eaupotable.htm (Accessed 26 Feb 2012)

- The Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risks (SCHER). Questions on water fluoridation; 2010. Available:<u>http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientifi ic_committees/opinions_layman/fluoridatio</u> <u>n/fr/</u> (Accessed 12 Jun 2012).
- Fawell J. Fluoride in drinking-water. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2006. Available:<u>http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/publications/fluoride_drinking_water_full.pdf</u> (Accessed 7 Apr 2012)
- Kinney GF, Wiruth AD. Practical risk analysis for safety management. China Lake, CA: Naval Weapons Center; 1976. Available:<u>www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a0</u> <u>27189.pdf</u> (Accessed 26 Feb 2012)
- 8. Besbes M. Hydrogeological study of Moularès Redayef-basin (Mathematical model); 1978. French.
- Ahmadi R. Using morphological markers, sedimentological and microstructural for validation of kinematic models of folding. Application to the southern Tunisian Atlas; 2006. French.
- © FPS Employment, Labour and Social Dialogue. The risk analysis; 2009. French. Available:<u>http://www.emploi.belgique.be</u> (Accessed 26 Feb 2012)
- 11. Connett P. 50 Reasons to oppose fluoridation. Med Veritas. 2004;1:70–80.
- Committee on Fluoride in Drinking Water, Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology, Division on Earth and Life Studies, et al. Fluoride in Drinking Water: A Scientific Review of EPA's Standards. National Academies Press; 2007. Available:<u>http://books.nap.edu/openbook.p hp?record_id=11571&page=R1</u> (Accessed 7 Apr 2012)
- Fluoride action network. 10 Facts about fluoride; 2012. Available:<u>http://www.fluoridealert.org/fluori</u> <u>de-facts.htm</u> (Accessed 7 Apr 2012)
- 14. Colquhoun J. Why I changed my mind about Fluoridation. Perspect Biol Med. 1997;41(1):29-44.

© 2016 Omrane et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/11952