

A New Gamma-Ray-emitting Population of FR0 Radio Galaxies

Vaidehi S. Paliya

Aryabhatta Research Institute of Observational Sciences (ARIES), Manora Peak, Nainital 263001, India; vaidehi.s.paliya@gmail.com Received 2021 August 9; revised 2021 August 24; accepted 2021 August 25; published 2021 September 17

Abstract

The enigmatic class of Fanaroff–Riley type 0 (FR0) radio galaxies is emerging as the missing link between the faint yet numerous population of compact radio sources in nearby galaxies and the canonical Fanaroff–Riley classification scheme. This Letter reports the first γ -ray identification of three FR0 galaxies above 1 GeV using more than a decade of the Fermi Large Area Telescope observations. A cumulative γ -ray emission at $>5\sigma$ significance was also detected from the γ -ray unresolved FR0 sources using the stacking technique, suggesting the FR0 population to be a γ -ray emitter as a whole. The multifrequency properties of the γ -ray-detected sources are similar to other FR0s, thus indicating the high-energy radiation to originate from misaligned jets. Given their large abundance, FR0 radio galaxies are proposed as plausible candidates for IceCube-detected neutrinos and the results presented in this Letter may provide crucial constraints on their γ -ray production mechanism and the origin of cosmic neutrinos.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Fanaroff-Riley radio galaxies (506); Gamma-ray astronomy (628); Relativistic jets (1390)

1. Introduction

Blazars are active galactic nuclei (AGN) hosting closely aligned relativistic jets. They are the most abundant class of extragalactic γ -ray emitters (Ajello et al. 2020b) due to the flux enhancement caused by Doppler boosting. This effect is considerably less prominent in their misaligned counterparts, i.e., radio galaxies, though a small number of them are detected in γ -ray surveys (see, e.g., Ajello et al. 2020b). Radio galaxies have been classified as faint edge-darkened Fanaroff–Riley type I (FR I) and bright edge-brightened FR II galaxies based on their extended radio morphology (Fanaroff & Riley 1974). FR Is are the more numerous γ -ray emitters, possibly due to their relatively flat γ -ray spectra, though it is not well understood (see Abdo et al. 2010).

Recently, a new class of faint yet abundant radio sources has been identified with several observational characteristics similar to FR I and II galaxies. The defining feature of these objects is the lack of extended, i.e., kiloparsec scale, radio emission, distinguishing them from FR Is and IIs (Baldi & Capetti 2009; Baldi et al. 2015). This emerging source class is termed as "FRO" radio galaxies (Ghisellini 2011). The nuclear properties of FR0s, e.g., bolometric AGN power, are found to be similar to that of FR I galaxies and so their hosts, which are typically red early-type galaxies (see Baldi & Capetti 2009).

The possibility that FR0 radio galaxies could be γ -ray emitters (Grandi et al. 2016) has opened up a new dimension in multimessenger astronomy, also because FR0 forms the faint yet bulk of the radio-loud AGN population. These galaxies have been proposed as promising sources of IceCube-detected neutrinos (Tavecchio et al. 2018) and also as plausible sites of ultra-high-energy cosmic-ray acceleration (see Merten et al. 2021). Therefore, a systematic characterization of FR0 galaxies in the γ -ray band is the need of the hour. Even if individual objects remain below the detection threshold of the Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT), their cumulative γ -ray signal could provide meaningful information constraining the proposed hypotheses. It is the primary objective of the presented work. In this Letter, the first γ -ray detection of three FR0 radio galaxies is reported, including a candidate γ -ray emitter, along with the identification of this class of radio-loud AGN as a new population of γ -ray emitters following a stacking analysis technique. The FR0 sample and details of the LAT data reduction are presented in Section 2. Results are presented in Sections 3 and 4. Section 5 is devoted to the discussion and summary of the obtained results. The cosmology parameters used are $H_0 = 67.8 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.308$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.692$ (Planck Collaboration et al. 2016). The quoted errors are 1σ statistical uncertainties unless specified.

2. Data Reduction

Baldi et al. (2018) presented a catalog of 108 bona fide FR0 objects, called FR0CAT, using the radio galaxy sample of Best & Heckman (2012). The sources included in FR0CAT have redshift $z \le 0.05$, extended radio emission $\lesssim 5$ kpc, and their optical spectroscopic properties are analogous to low-excitation galaxies. The P8R3 Fermi-LAT data of all 108 FR0s¹ were analyzed to identify potential γ -ray emitters.

The binned likelihood fitting method was adopted to determine the significance of the γ -ray signal from the SOURCE class events lying within a circular region of interest (ROI) of radius 10° centered at the target AGN. The considered time and energy ranges were 2008 August 4 to 2021 May 5 (MJD 54683–59339) and 1–1000 GeV, respectively. The minimum energy was chosen as 1 GeV because the Fermi-LAT point-spread function significantly improves above this energy (see Atwood et al. 2013) allowing a better source localization and suppressing the diffuse background emission brighter at MeV energies (e.g., Acero et al. 2016). All γ -ray sources present in the second data release of the fourth catalog of the Fermi-LAT detected sources (4FGL-DR2; Abdollahi et al. 2020; Ballet et al. 2020) and lying within 15° from the target, along with the recommended diffuse background

¹ The previously reported γ-ray-emitting FR0 galaxy, Tol 1326–379 (Grandi et al. 2016), is not included in FR0CAT.



Figure 1. The residual TS maps of three FR0 radio galaxies detected in the γ -ray band. Green dotted and black dashed circles represent 68% and 95% uncertainty regions, respectively.

Table 1											
Parameters Associated with	th the FR0 Radio Galaxies I	Detected in the Energy Range 1–1000 GeV									

Name	Z.	Optimized γ -Ray Position		R05%	TS	F_{γ}	Γ_{γ}	L_{γ}	$L_{\rm [O III]}$	M _{BH}
		hh mm ss.ss	dd mm ss.s	(deg.)		$(10^{-10} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$	1	$(10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1})$	(erg s^{-1})	(M_{\odot})
LEDA 55267	0.033	15 30 16.99	+27 08 52.1	0.07	29	1.07 ± 0.31	2.23 ± 0.23	2.0 ± 0.8	$5.1 imes 10^{39}$	1.6×10^{8}
LEDA 57137	0.037	16 06 26.81	+18 13 58.1	0.07	30	1.25 ± 0.32	2.22 ± 0.12	3.0 ± 1.0	$4.8 imes 10^{39}$	$5.0 imes 10^8$
LEDA 58287	0.04	16 28 57.58	+25 29 10.7	0.08	27	0.99 ± 0.29	2.04 ± 0.20	3.9 ± 1.7	4.5×10^{39}	3.2×10^8

Note. $R_{95\%}$ is the 95% uncertainty radius in the optimized γ -ray position. Last three columns show the γ -ray and [O III] line luminosities, and black hole mass, respectively.

models,² were used to model the γ -ray sky. Spectral parameters of sources lying within the ROI were kept free during the fit to derive the maximum likelihood test statistic (TS; Mattox et al. 1996). It is defined as TS = $2 \log(\mathcal{L})$, where \mathcal{L} denotes the ratio of the likelihood values with and without a point source at the position of interest. Since the covered period was larger than that adopted in the 4FGL-DR2 catalog, TS maps were generated to identify unmodeled γ -ray excesses present in the data but not in the model. Once all such excesses were taken into account, a final likelihood fitting was carried out to compute the detection significance and spectral parameters of FR0 radio galaxies. Sources with TS > 25 (~4.2 σ) were considered as γ -ray-detected ones.

A likelihood profile stacking technique was employed to derive the overall detection significance of the γ -ray-undetected sources. This method has already been used for various studies, e.g., upper limits on dark matter interaction, extreme blazars, and star-forming galaxies (see Ackermann et al. 2011; Paliya et al. 2019; Ajello et al. 2020a). The basic assumption of this approach is that the considered source population can be characterized by an average photon flux (F_{γ}) and photon index (Γ_{γ}) when their γ -ray spectra are modeled with a power law. In particular, a grid of F_{γ} and Γ_{γ} was created, and TS values were calculated at each grid point, effectively generating a TS profile for each FR0 galaxy. Since log-likelihood is additive, TS profiles of all sources were stacked and scanned to determine the cumulative TS peak and associated spectral parameters. Further details of this technique can be found in Paliya et al. (2019).

3. New Gamma-Ray-emitting FR0 Galaxies

The LAT data analysis of 108 FR0 radio galaxies has revealed a significant γ -ray emission from three objects above

1 GeV. The residual TS maps of these sources are shown in Figure 1 along with their radio and optimized γ -ray positions. The derived spectral parameters are provided in Table 1. To ascertain the association of the γ -ray source with the FR0s, 1.4 GHz flux density maps from the Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty-Centimeters survey were inspected. Two out of three FR0 galaxies, LEDA 55267 and LEDA 57137, were found to be the brightest radio sources within the 95% uncertainty region associated with the optimized γ -ray position. The case of LEDA 58287 is complex due to the presence of a BL Lac object, RX J1628.6+2527 (z = 0.22), at the edge of the 95% uncertainty region (Figure 1, right panel). The BL Lac is slightly brighter at 1.4 GHz with flux density ~46 mJy compared to LEDA 58287 ($F_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} \sim 25 \text{ mJy}$). However, the FR0 galaxy is closer to the optimized γ -ray position being located inside 68% uncertainty region while the BL Lac object is outside of it. With the collection of more LAT data, it will be possible to further reduce the uncertainty region size and identifying the true counterpart of the γ -ray source. Therefore, the FR0 radio galaxy LEDA 58287 can be considered as a candidate γ -ray emitter.

4. Stacking of the Undetected Population

There are 77 FR0 sources present in the sample that have TS > 0, thus indicating the FR0 population to be a faint γ -ray emitter as a whole. To quantify the significance of the γ -ray signal, the stacking pipeline was employed on 74 objects after excluding the γ -ray-detected ones. Furthermore, to take into account the background radiation, 500 empty sky positions at high galactic latitude ($|b| > 10^\circ$) were randomly selected that do not lie within 95% uncertainty regions of any 4FGL-DR2 objects and the whole process was repeated. Since the background contamination can be nonuniform, 74 empty sky

² https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/BackgroundModels.html



Figure 2. Left: histogram of the TS values for 74 FR0 galaxies (red) selected for the stacking analysis. The black histogram represents the average TS of the 100 iterations carried out to randomly pick 74 TS > 0 background regions from the original pool of 500 empty sky positions. Middle: the background-subtracted combined TS profile of 74 FR0 galaxies. Confidence contours are at 1σ , 2σ , and 3σ levels. Right: stacked bow-tie spectrum of the γ -ray-undetected FR0 galaxy sample. Spectra of three γ -ray-detected objects are also shown. Flux upper limits were computed at 95% confidence level.

positions with TS > 0 were randomly picked from the pool³ and their stacked TS profile was subtracted from that obtained for the FR0 galaxy sample. This allowed the measurement of the TS peak from the background-subtracted TS profile of FR0 galaxies. This step was repeated 100 times by randomly picking 74 TS > 0 empty sky positions in each step. The mean of the distribution is 48 and the TS peak was found to be >25in 98 out of 100 iterations. The average histogram of the 74 TS > 0 background regions picked in 100 iterations is shown in the left panel of Figure 2. The TS histogram of 74 FR0 galaxies is overplotted in the same panel and a comparison of the two highlights the significance of γ -ray emission in the selected sample of FR0s above the background. The backgroundsubtracted TS profile of one of the iterations is shown in Figure 2 (middle panel). In the energy range of 1-1000 GeV, the average photon flux and photon index for the FR0 source $\langle F_{\gamma} \rangle = 2.99^{+0.56}_{-0.54} \times 10^{-11} \,\mathrm{ph} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and population are $\langle \Gamma_{\gamma} \rangle = 2.30^{+0.18}_{-0.20}$, respectively. In the right panel of Figure 2, the stacked γ -ray spectrum, as well as spectra of the detected FR0 galaxies, are shown.

5. Discussion and Summary

With the γ -ray detection of three FR0 galaxies, including a candidate source, and a significant cumulative γ -ray signal from a major fraction of FR0CAT objects revealed by the stacking analysis, the FR0 source population can now be considered as a γ -ray emitter, similar to, e.g., blazars and FR I and II galaxies.

Using the [O III] line luminosity $(L_{\rm [O III]})$ reported in FROCAT, the bolometric luminosity of the γ -ray-detected sources can be computed following $L_{\rm bol} = 3500L_{\rm [O III]}$ (Table 1; Heckman et al. 2004). The accretion rate in Eddington units is then derived as $\dot{L} = L_{\rm bol}/L_{\rm Edd}$ using the black hole mass listed in FROCAT, and it turned out to be $<10^{-3}$ for all of them, a value typical of low-excitation galaxies and similar to that found for other FR0 sources (Best & Heckman 2012; Baldi et al. 2018). Furthermore, a comparison of the *k*-corrected radio and γ -ray luminosities of these objects with 4FGL-DR2 blazars and FR I and II radio galaxies (Angioni 2020) is shown in the left panel of Figure 3. All three FR0s lie in the low-luminosity tail of the blazar distribution (see also Grandi et al. 2016). Interestingly, this gives the impression that these objects may

belong to the low-luminosity BL Lac population, i.e., their jets might be viewed at small angles. The similar large-scale environments of FR0s and BL Lac sources also indicate a possible connection between these two populations (Massaro et al. 2020). This was tested by inspecting the location of the γ ray-emitting FR0 galaxies in the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) color-color diagram where blazars are known to occupy a distinct region (Massaro et al. 2011). As can be seen in Figure 3 (right panel), FR0 galaxies, including the γ ray-emitting ones, populate a region substantially far from that occupied by blazars. This observation suggests that the infrared emission in FR0 galaxies is probably not dominated by the jet. Moreover, this finding also supports the fact that these FR0 sources may not host a beamed relativistic jet. This is further reinforced by plotting the "BL Lac-galaxy-dominated" (BZGs⁴) sources (Massaro et al. 2015). Several of these can be sources with weak or misaligned jets, and in fact they appear to occupy a region in the WISE color-color plot that is intermediate between BL Lacs and FR0s (Figure 3, right panel).

Other observational evidence corroborating the misaligned nature of the γ -ray-detected FR0 galaxies comes from the ratio of the [O III] and 2-10 keV luminosities. $R_{\rm [O \, III]/X} = \log(L_{\rm [O \, III]}/L_{2-10 \, \rm keV})$. Since the X-ray emission is beamed in BL Lac objects, this ratio is found to be small $(\sim -3.3;$ see Capetti & Raiteri 2015) compared to FR0 radio galaxies (\sim -1.7, e.g., Hardcastle et al. 2009; Torresi et al. 2018). Using the Swift X-ray telescope observations, $R_{\rm [O III]/X}$ was determined as -1.7, -1.9, and -1.4 for LEDA 55267, LEDA 57137, and LEDA 58287, respectively, similar to other FRO galaxies. Altogether, the γ -ray emission in FRO systems is likely to be originated from misaligned jets.

The γ -ray production mechanism in radio galaxies is still a matter of debate. Viewed at large jet angles, their γ -ray emission is expected to be suppressed due to relatively smaller Doppler boosting. However, many such objects have been discovered with Fermi-LAT, and γ -ray flaring activities have also been reported (see, e.g., Tanada et al. 2018). A one-zone synchrotron self-Compton model is commonly adopted to explain the γ -ray emission; however, it fails to explain the TeV radiation (see Tavecchio & Ghisellini 2008). More complex radiative scenarios have been proposed such as spine-shear topology (e.g., Tavecchio & Ghisellini 2008), multizone

 $^{^{3}}$ Since 74 FR0 galaxies picked for stacking were chosen based on a TS > 0 condition, the same was applied to select 74 empty sky positions.

⁴ BZGs are proposed as BL Lac objects with a dominant host galaxy emission overwhelming the nuclear radiation (Massaro et al. 2015).



Figure 3. Left: radio luminosity as a function of the γ -ray luminosity plotted for blazars and radio galaxies. Right: WISE color-color diagram showing the regions populated by blazars, BZGs, and FR0 radio galaxies. The γ -ray-detected FR0s are highlighted with black stars. In both plots, the previously reported γ -ray-emitting FR0 galaxy, Tol 1326-379, is also shown.

emission (see Georganopoulos & Kazanas 2003; Lenain et al. 2008), and/or various magnetic-reconnection-driven events (Giannios et al. 2010).

Hadronic models based on $p\gamma$ interaction and proton synchrotron process have also been invoked to explain the γ ray emission (e.g., Reimer et al. 2004). Since many of the observational properties of FR0 galaxies are similar to FR Is, their γ -ray production mechanism might also be similar. In particular, hadronic processes also predict relativistic jets of these sources to be sites of ultra-high-energy cosmic-ray acceleration and neutrinos (see Blanco & Hooper 2017). Indeed, the lack of point-source detection in IceCube events hints at a numerous population of faint objects as a source of the diffuse neutrino flux. Interestingly, FR0 radio galaxies belong to one such type of astrophysical population leading Tavecchio et al. (2018) to propose them as a promising source of IceCube-detected neutrinos. In this regard, the γ -ray spectral parameters derived for both individually and cumulatively γ ray-detected FR0 radio galaxies (Table 1) would be crucial to constrain the proposed γ -ray emission mechanisms and origin of cosmic neutrinos.

The author thanks the journal referee for constructive criticism.

ORCID iDs

Vaidehi S. Paliya https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7774-5308

References

Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., et al. 2010, ApJ, 720, 912 Abdollahi, S., Acero, F., Ackermann, M., et al. 2020, ApJS, 247, 33 Acero, F., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., et al. 2016, ApJS, 223, 26

- Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Albert, A., et al. 2011, PhRvL, 107, 241302
- Ajello, M., Angioni, R., Axelsson, M., et al. 2020b, ApJ, 892, 105
- Ajello, M., Di Mauro, M., Paliya, V. S., & Garrappa, S. 2020a, ApJ, 894, 88 Angioni, R. 2020, APh, 116, 102393
- Atwood, W., Albert, A., Baldini, L., et al. 2013, arXiv:1303.3514
- Baldi, R. D., & Capetti, A. 2009, A&A, 508, 603
- Baldi, R. D., Capetti, A., & Giovannini, G. 2015, A&A, 576, A38
- Baldi, R. D., Capetti, A., & Massaro, F. 2018, A&A, 609, A1
- Ballet, J., Burnett, T. H., Digel, S. W., & Lott, B. 2020, arXiv:2005.11208
- Best, P. N., & Heckman, T. M. 2012, MNRAS, 421, 1569
- Blanco, C., & Hooper, D. 2017, JCAP, 2017, 017
- Capetti, A., & Raiteri, C. M. 2015, A&A, 580, A73
- Fanaroff, B. L., & Riley, J. M. 1974, MNRAS, 167, 31P
- Georganopoulos, M., & Kazanas, D. 2003, ApJL, 594, L27
- Ghisellini, G. 2011, in AIP Conf. Ser. 1381, 25th Texas Symposium on Relativistic AstroPhysics (Texas 2010), ed. F. A. Aharonian, W. Hofmann, & F. M. Rieger (Melville, NY: AIP), 180
- Giannios, D., Uzdensky, D. A., & Begelman, M. C. 2010, MNRAS, 402, 1649
- Grandi, P., Capetti, A., & Baldi, R. D. 2016, MNRAS, 457, 2
- Hardcastle, M. J., Evans, D. A., & Croston, J. H. 2009, MNRAS, 396, 1929 Heckman, T. M., Kauffmann, G., Brinchmann, J., et al. 2004, ApJ, 613, 109
- Lenain, J. P., Boisson, C., Sol, H., & Katarzyński, K. 2008, A&A, 478, 111
- Massaro, E., Maselli, A., Leto, C., et al. 2015, Ap&SS, 357, 75
- Massaro, F., Capetti, A., Paggi, A., et al. 2020, ApJL, 900, L34
- Massaro, F., D'Abrusco, R., Ajello, M., Grindlay, J. E., & Smith, H. A. 2011, ApJL, 740, L48
- Mattox, J. R., Bertsch, D. L., Chiang, J., et al. 1996, ApJ, 461, 396
- Merten, L., Boughelilba, M., Reimer, A., et al. 2021, APh, 128, 102564
- Paliya, V. S., Domínguez, A., Ajello, M., Franckowiak, A., & Hartmann, D. 2019, ApJL, 882, L3
- Planck Collaboration, Ade, P. A. R., Aghanim, N., et al. 2016, A&A, 594, A13
- Reimer, A., Protheroe, R. J., & Donea, A. C. 2004, A&A, 419, 89
- Tanada, K., Kataoka, J., Arimoto, M., et al. 2018, ApJ, 860, 74
- Tavecchio, F., & Ghisellini, G. 2008, MNRAS, 385, L98
- Tavecchio, F., Righi, C., Capetti, A., Grandi, P., & Ghisellini, G. 2018, MNRAS, 475, 5529
- Torresi, E., Grandi, P., Capetti, A., Baldi, R. D., & Giovannini, G. 2018, MNRAS, 476, 5535