



Influence of Different Levels of Nano Urea on Growth, Quality and Yield on Pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) under Prayagraj Meteorological Conditions

Kinshuk Sharma ^{a++}, Urfi Fatmi ^{a#}, Bhagchand Yadav ^{b†*}
and Deepanshu ^{a#}

^a Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, U.P. India.
^b Directorate of Research, SKRAU, Bikaner, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i94992>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121797>

Original Research Article

Received: 20/06/2024
Accepted: 22/08/2024
Published: 08/09/2024

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out in the Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh during the *Zaid* season of 2023 with the view to evaluate performance of pumpkin with application of different combinations of urea and nano urea. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with 11 treatment combinations replicated thrice. Treatments comprised

⁺⁺ Research Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

[†] Senior Research Fellow;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: bcyadavhorti@gmail.com;

Cite as: Sharma, Kinshuk, Urfi Fatmi, Bhagchand Yadav, and Deepanshu. 2024. "Influence of Different Levels of Nano Urea on Growth, Quality and Yield on Pumpkin (*Cucurbita Pepo* L.) under Prayagraj Meteorological Conditions". *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 36 (9):430-37. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i94992>.

of T₀ (100% RDF @ 100:50:50 Kg/ha NPK); T₁ (90% nitrogen through traditional method +10% nitrogen through nano urea); T₂ (80% nitrogen through traditional method + 20% nitrogen through nano urea); T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method + 30% nitrogen through nano urea); T₄ (60% nitrogen through traditional method + 40% nitrogen through nano urea); T₅ (50% nitrogen through traditional method + 50% nitrogen through nano urea); T₆ (40% nitrogen through traditional method + 60% nitrogen through nano urea); T₇ (30% nitrogen through traditional method+ 70% nitrogen through nano urea); T₈ (20% nitrogen through traditional method + 80% nitrogen through nano urea); T₉ (10% nitrogen through traditional method + 90% nitrogen through nano urea) and T₁₀ 100% Nano urea . Among the different levels of urea and nano urea applied in pumpkin T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method + 30% nitrogen through nano urea) showed significantly better performance for growth parameters like longest vines and earliness in 50% flowering and maturity for yield parameters like fruit diameter, maximum number of fruits per plant yield per hectare as well as maximum net returns and highest BC ratio.

Keywords: *Cucurbita pepo*; urea; nano urea; growth; quality; yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

The significance of vegetables in nutritional guidance lies in their rich mineral content (especially electrolytes), vitamins (A and C in particular), and phytochemicals (notably antioxidants). India is the second-largest vegetable producer globally with 167.38 million tonnes in 2021, faces challenges as over 80% of agricultural products go to waste. These nutrient-packed foods, integral to daily consumption, provide vital components like beta-carotene and ascorbic acid, crucial for oxygen scavenging and health benefits such as reduced cancer risk, heart disease and premature ageing. Fruits and vegetables' phytochemicals combat oxidative damage, potentially preventing various diseases. Recognizing the profound link between diet and wellbeing, Farnoosh [1] emphasized the therapeutic benefits of natural fruit and vegetable juices as gifts from nature to restore health. As per ICMR, dietary guidelines typically advise individuals to consume a diverse range of vegetables daily, aiming for at least 3-5 servings or roughly 300-500 grams of various vegetables to maintain optimal health.

Artificial fertilizers are identified as inorganic fertilizers which are formed in appropriate concentrations to supply three chief elements: nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium (N, P, and K) for different crops and growing conditions. N (nitrogen) stimulates leaf growth and is found in proteins and chlorophyll, P (phosphorus) improves root, flower and fruit development and K (potassium) enhances stem and root growth and the production of proteins [2]. However, about 80–90% of phosphorus, 40–70% of nitrogen and 50–70% of potassium of the used normal fertilizers cannot be absorbed by plants and is lost to the environment, causing

substantial economic and resource losses and very serious environmental pollution [3]. Nano fertilizers represent nutrient carriers engineered using substrates featuring nano dimensions ranging from 1 to 100 nm, designed to deliver singular or combined nutrients, thereby augmenting plant growth, overall performance, and yield. Despite not directly supplying nutrients to crops, they exhibit superior efficacy compared to conventional fertilizers. Nano fertilizers encompass products synthesized through nanoparticles or nanotechnology, enriching nutrients into adsorbents to enhance nutrient performance and elevate plant nutrition beyond the capabilities of traditional fertilizers [4-8]. The extensive surface area of nanoparticles enables them to retain nutrients proficiently, releasing these nutrients gradually to meet crop demands without any adverse effects. Nano porous materials or nanotubes offer avenues for encapsulating nano fertilizers by coating them with a thin protective polymer film, often derived as emulsions or particles of nanoscale dimensions [2]. Nano-fertilizers are formulated to deliver and emit nutrient for more than 35 days deliberately and regularly. This may help in decreasing adverse effect on soil, plant and environment by enhancing the efficiency of applied nutrient and subsequently decrease leaching loss of nutrients [9]. Preference of nano fertilizer is higher compared to traditional fertilizers as they are more efficient and can be absorbed easily by both roots and shoots due to slow and controlled release of fertilizers. Therefore, nano fertilizers are more effective and efficient in absorption capacity compared to traditional fertilizers [10,11].

Therefore, the present investigation entitled Effect of different levels of nano urea on growth,

quality and yield of pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) was be undertaken at Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, SHUATS, Prayagraj (UP) during Zaid season 2023.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was done to understand the performance of pumpkin with application of different combinations of urea and nano urea. The investigation was carried out at Horticultural Research Field, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during Zaid-2023. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block design with 11 treatment combinations replicated thrice. Treatments comprised of T₀ (100% RDF @ 100:50:50 Kg/ha NPK); T₁ (90% nitrogen through traditional method +10% nitrogen through nano urea); T₂ (80% nitrogen through traditional method + 20% nitrogen through nano urea); T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method + 30% nitrogen through nano urea); T₄ (60% nitrogen through traditional method + 40% nitrogen through nano urea); T₅ (50% nitrogen through traditional method + 50% nitrogen through nano urea); T₆ (40% nitrogen through traditional method + 60% nitrogen through nano urea); T₇ (30% nitrogen through traditional method+ 70% nitrogen through nano urea); T₈ (20% nitrogen through traditional method + 80% nitrogen through nano urea); T₉ (10% nitrogen through traditional method + 90% nitrogen through nano urea) and T₁₀ 100% Nano urea . Observations were recorded at different stages of growth for parameters like vine length, days to flower emergence, fruit diameter and yield per plant and quality parameters like TSS and vitamin C content. The data were statistically analysed by the method suggested by Fisher and Yates. The experimental site is levelled with sandy loam soil of uniform fertility status with low clay and high sand percentage. Soil samples were collected randomly from depth of 0-30 cm and the soil was analysed for pH found to be slight neutral (6.9), organic carbon was 0.36%, available nitrogen was 212.56 kg ha⁻¹, available phosphorus was 14.59 kg ha⁻¹ and available potassium was 225.10 kg ha⁻¹. The preparation of the experimental field involved several steps to ensure optimal conditions for cultivation. Initially, a tractor drawn disc plough was used to plough the field. Following this, two cross harrowing

sessions were conducted, and the field was then planked. To achieve a uniform surface, a leveller was employed to thoroughly level the field before proceeding with the experimental layout. Around FYM 40 t/ha as basal was applied to field. Light irrigation was provided at critical stages of crop growth.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A) Growth Parameters

Effect of vine length: Significantly longer vine length (209.9cm) was reported in T₃ at 90 DAS (70% nitrogen through traditional method+ 30% nitrogen through nano urea) which was at par with T₂ (80% nitrogen through traditional method+ 20% nitrogen through nano urea) *i.e.* 206.5 cm whereas significantly shorter vine length (179.5 cm) was reported in T₁₀ (100% nitrogen through nano urea).

Longer vines facilitate better nutrient uptake, photosynthesis, and overall plant health, contributing to improved yield potential. Additionally, the balanced nitrogen application optimizes plant metabolism, encouraging vigorous vine growth without excessive vegetative development. Ultimately, this treatment combination promotes healthier and more productive pumpkin crops, highlighting the significance of tailored nutrient management strategies in agriculture.

B) Earliness parameters

Appearance of first male and female flower: Lesser number of days (46.2) for appearance of first male flower was reported in T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method+ 30% nitrogen through nano urea) which was at par with T₇ (30% nitrogen through traditional method+ 70% nitrogen through nano urea) with (47.1) whereas more number of days for appearance of first male flower (53.2) were reported in T₁ (90% nitrogen through traditional method +10% nitrogen through nano urea).

Significantly lesser number of days for appearance of first female flower (53.4) was reported in T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method+ 30% nitrogen through nano urea) which was at par with T₄ (60% nitrogen through traditional method+ 40% nitrogen through nano urea) with 54.6 days whereas significantly more number of days (62.0) were reported in T₁₀ (100% nitrogen through nano urea).

Consequently, pumpkins treated with this combination exhibit an early onset of female flowering, enhancing the crop's overall earliness. This timely reproductive development not only accelerates the maturation process but also potentially extends the harvesting window, providing growers with earlier yields and improved market opportunities.

Effect of days to 50% flowering: It was found in the current study that there were statistically significant differences observed for the days to 50% flowering among various levels of nano urea applied in pumpkin. With 54.3 days, T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method + 30% nitrogen through nano urea) was earlier most in female flowering overall; followed by T₅ (50% nitrogen through traditional method + 50% nitrogen through nano urea) with 56.5 days. The maximum days for days to 50% flowering (63.1 days) was observed in T₁₀ (100% nano urea).

The treatment combination of 70% nitrogen through traditional methods and 30% nitrogen through nano urea expedites female flowering in pumpkins due to its balanced and efficient nutrient delivery. The balanced nitrogen application optimizes metabolic processes, further hastening the transition to the reproductive phase.

Days to first harvest: It was found in the current study that there were statistically significant differences observed for the days to first harvest among various levels of nano urea applied in pumpkin. With 73.5 days, T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method + 30% nitrogen through nano urea) was earlier most in female flowering overall; followed by T₄ (60% nitrogen through traditional method + 40% nitrogen through nano urea) with 75.0 days. The maximum days for days to first harvest (82.2 days) was observed in T₁₀ (100% nano urea).

The treatment combination of 70% nitrogen through conventional methods and 30% nitrogen through nano urea shortens the time it takes to harvest pumpkins. The targeted nutrient delivery system of nano urea promotes faster fruit and flower development, hastening the maturation process. Consequently, this combination treatment causes earlier fruit set and faster maturity of the treated pumpkins, resulting in an early harvest. By optimising metabolic processes, the balanced nutrient application also promotes plant development and shortens the time until harvest. Similar findings were reported in studies on cucumber by Merghany et al. [12].

C) Yield parameters

The T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method + 30% nitrogen through nano urea) had maximum number of fruits per plant overall, with 5.7 fruits; followed by T₂ (80% nitrogen through traditional method + 20% nitrogen through nano urea) with 5.4 fruits. T₀ (100% RDF @ 100:50:50 Kg/ha NPK) produced minimum number of fruits (3.3 fruits) per plant. T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method + 30% nitrogen through nano urea) had maximum fruit diameter overall, with 21.3 cm; followed by T₁ (90% nitrogen through traditional method + 10% nitrogen through nano urea) with 20.2 cm. T₁₀ (100% nano urea) produced fruits with minimum diameter (15.9 cm).

The current study discovered that the fruit weight showed statistically significant difference depending on the amount of nano urea applied to the pumpkin. T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method + 30% nitrogen through nano urea) had maximum fruit weight overall, with 1907.7 grams; followed by T₂ (80% nitrogen through traditional method + 20% nitrogen through nano urea) with 1876.4 grams. T₁ (100% RDF @ 100:50:50 Kg/ha NPK) produced fruits with minimum weight (964.8 grams).

T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method + 30% nitrogen through nano urea) had maximum average yield per plant overall, with 10.8 kg/plant; followed by T₂ (80% nitrogen through traditional method + 20% nitrogen through nano urea) with 10.1 kg/plant. T₀ (100% RDF @ 100:50:50 Kg/ha NPK) produced fruits with minimum yield per plant (3.1 kg/plant). T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method + 30% nitrogen through nano urea) had maximum fruit yield per hectare overall, with 48.0 t/ha; followed by T₂ (80% nitrogen through traditional method + 20% nitrogen through nano urea) with 45.0 t/ha. T₀ (100% RDF @ 100:50:50 Kg/ha NPK) produced minimum fruit yield (14.1 t/ha).

More flowers and fruits are produced by healthier plants with higher photosynthetic efficiency thanks to improved nutrient absorption from nano urea. Furthermore, optimal metabolic processes are enhanced by balanced nitrogen levels, enhancing the general resilience and health of plants. As a result, the total fruit yield of pumpkins is greatly increased by this integrated nutrient management strategy, which produces more larger and heavier fruits per hectare. Similar findings were reported in studies by Ali et al. (2021) in cauliflowers and Bahar et al. [13] in faba beans on application of nano fertilizers.

D) Quality parameters

Total Soluble Solids (TSS): The current study discovered that the TSS showed statistically significant difference depending on the amount of nano urea applied to the pumpkin. T₂ (80% nitrogen through traditional method + 20% nitrogen through nano urea) had maximum average yield per hectare overall, with 6.66 °Brix; followed by T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method + 30% nitrogen through nano urea) with 5.93 °Brix. T₁₀ (100% nano urea) produced fruits with minimum diameter (4.32 °Brix). This integrated nutrient management strategy optimizes the biochemical pathways involved in sugar production, resulting in pumpkins with

higher TSS, improved sweetness, and overall better fruit quality, enhancing their market value and consumer appeal.

Ascorbic acid content: The current study discovered that the ascorbic acid content showed statistically significant difference depending on the amount of nano urea applied to the pumpkin. T₄ (60% nitrogen through traditional method + 40% nitrogen through nano urea) had maximum ascorbic acid content overall, with 19.41 mg/100g; followed by T₂ (80% nitrogen through traditional method + 20% nitrogen through nano urea) with 18.10 mg/100g. T₀ (100% RDF @ 100:50:50 Kg/ha NPK) produced fruits with minimum diameter (15.63 mg/100g).

Table 1. Effect of different levels of nano urea on pumpkin growth parameters

Treatment	Vine length (cm)	Appearance of first male flower	Appearance of first female flower	days to 50% flowering	Days to First harvest
T ₀	187.1	49.8	60.7	61.2	79.8
T ₁	204.8	53.2	61.2	61.5	81.1
T ₂	206.5	50.0	57.1	58.3	78.4
T ₃	209.9	46.2	53.4	54.3	73.5
T ₄	201.9	48.2	54.6	55.1	75.0
T ₅	200.3	48.7	55.2	56.5	75.8
T ₆	196.2	50.7	57.7	58.2	78.4
T ₇	193.6	47.1	58.3	58.5	78.9
T ₈	190.9	49.5	60.6	61.1	81.1
T ₉	184.2	48.2	59.0	59.7	79.3
T ₁₀	179.5	51.3	62.0	63.1	82.2
'F' test	S	S	S	S	S
SE d (±)	0.33	0.40	0.33	0.37	0.35
CD_{0.05}	0.69	0.83	0.69	0.77	0.74
CV (%)	0.21	0.98	0.70	0.77	0.55

Table 2. Effect of different levels of nano urea on pumpkin yield parameters

Treatment	Number of fruits per plant	Fruit diameter (cm)	Fruit weight (grams)	Fruit yield per hectare (t/ha)
T ₀	3.3	16.9	964.8	3.1
T ₁	5.3	20.2	1765.5	9.3
T ₂	5.4	19.7	1876.4	10.1
T ₃	5.7	21.3	1907.7	10.8
T ₄	4.4	18.1	1678.2	7.4
T ₅	4.5	18.4	1576.3	7.1
T ₆	4.3	16.1	1456.7	6.2
T ₇	4.3	17.3	1375.2	5.8
T ₈	3.5	16.5	1203.6	4.2
T ₉	3.4	16.8	1007.2	3.4
T ₁₀	3.5	15.9	987.1	3.4
'F' test	S	S	S	S
SE d (±)	0.18	0.27	26.75	0.30
CD_{0.05}	0.37	0.57	55.79	0.62
CV (%)	5.07	1.87	2.28	5.61

Table 3. Effect of different levels of nano urea on pumpkin quality parameters

Treatment	Tss (°brix)	Ascorbic Acid (Mg/100g)
T ₀	4.60	15.63
T ₁	5.78	17.09
T ₂	6.66	18.10
T ₃	5.93	16.73
T ₄	5.56	19.41
T ₅	4.50	16.84
T ₆	5.33	17.93
T ₇	5.21	16.88
T ₈	5.65	17.88
T ₉	4.65	18.05
T ₁₀	4.32	16.56
'F' test	S	S
SE d (±)	0.18	0.44
CD_{0.05}	0.38	0.93
CV (%)	4.17	3.13

Table 4. Effect of different levels of nano urea on pumpkin economics

Treatment	Cost of Cultivation	Gross Return (Rs./Ha)	Net Return (Rs./Ha)	B:C Ratio
T ₀	93,937	283000	189063	2.01
T ₁	93,937	826600	732663	7.79
T ₂	93,937	900600	806663	8.58
T ₃	93,937	961000	867063	9.23
T ₄	93,937	661400	567463	6.04
T ₅	93,937	635200	541263	5.76
T ₆	93,937	552400	458463	4.88
T ₇	93,937	521600	427663	4.55
T ₈	93,937	378000	284063	3.02
T ₉	93,937	304400	210463	2.24
T ₁₀	93,937	304200	210263	2.23

Improved ascorbic acid synthesis and improved photosynthesis are supported by increased nutrient absorption from nano urea [14-17]. Combining the two enhances metabolic functions and resilience to stress, encouraging the build-up of antioxidants such as vitamin C. Pumpkins with a higher ascorbic acid content as a result of this integrated nutrient management strategy have better nutritional value and overall health. Similar findings were reported in studies by Kazem et al. [18] in eggplant treated with nano NPK, Al-Saidi et al., (2022) in fenugreek applied with nano fertilizers in combination of RDF, Lekshmi et al., 2022 in okra.

4. CONCLUSION

From the present investigation, it was concluded that among different levels of urea and nano urea applied in pumpkin, T₃ (70% nitrogen through traditional method + 30% nitrogen through nano urea) showed better performance for growth parameter like longest vines at 90 DAS and earliness in 50% flowering and maturity. T₃ showed better performance for yield parameters like fruit diameter, maximum number of fruits per plant having, yield per hectare. In the economic analysis T₃ showed maximum net returns and highest BC ratio.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Farnoosh B. The healthy juicer's bible: Lose weight, detoxify, fight disease, and live long. Skyhorse 6th edition. 2013; 214.
2. Mandal D, Lalrinchani. Nano fertilizer and its application in horticulture. Journal of Applied Horticulture. 2021;23(1):70-77.
3. Abdel-Aziz HMM, Soliman MI, Abo Al-Saoud AM, El-Sherbeny GA. Waste-derived NPK nano fertilizer enhances growth and productivity of *Capsicum annum* L. Plants. 2021;10:1144.
4. Kumar Y, Tiwari KN, Singh T, Raliya R. Nano fertilizers and their role in sustainable agriculture. Annals of Plant & Soil Research. 2021;23:238- 255.
5. Kumar Yogendra, Singh Tarunendu, Raliya Ramesh, Tiwari KN. Nano fertilizers for sustainable crop production, higher nutrient use efficiency and enhanced profitability. Indian Journal of Fertilisers. 2021;17(11):1206-1214.
6. Lahari S, Hussain SA, Parameswari YS, Sharma KHS. Grain yield and nutrient uptake of rice as influenced by the nano forms of nitrogen and zinc. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2021;11(7):1-6.
7. Hemasri Nalluri, Sai VM, Prasad, Vijay Bahadur, Samir E Topno, Yash Kumar Singh. Performance of Ridge Gourd Genotype under Prayagraj Agro Climatic Conditions. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(10):4023-30. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2023/v13i103078.
8. Naderi MR, Bannayan M, Goldani M, Alizadeh A. Effect of nitrogen application on growth and yield of pumpkin. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 2017;40(6):890–907. Available:https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2016.1262416
9. Siddiqui MH, Al-Whaibi MH, Faisal M, Al Sahli AA. Nano-silicon dioxide mitigates the adverse effects of salt stress on Cucurbita pepo L. Environment and Toxicological Chemistry. 2014;33:2429–2437.
10. Belal EH, El-Ramady Nanoparticles in water, soils and agriculture. In: S. Ranjan et al. (eds.): Nanoscience in Food and Agriculture 2, Sustainable Agriculture Reviews 21, Springer International Publishing Switzerland; 2016.
11. Khan MR, Rizvi TF. Application of Nano fertilizer and Nano pesticides for Improvements in Crop Production and Protection. In: M. Ghorbanpour et al. (eds.): Nanoscience and Plant–Soil Systems, Soil Biology. 2017;48.
12. Merghany M, Shahein MM, Sliem MA, Abdelgawad KF, Radwan AF. Effect of Nano-Fertilizers on Cucumber Plant Growth, Fruit Yield, and Its Quality. Plant Archives. 2019;19(Supplement 2):165-172.
13. Bahar JM, Rabar FS, Solin IH, Chra AF. Interaction Effect of Different Concentrations of Nano-Fertilizer (NPK)

- and Sources of Charcoal on Growth and Yield Parameters of Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. 2021;761:012082.
14. Ajirloo AR, Shaaban M, Motlagh ZR. Effect of K Nano-Fertilizer and N Bio-Fertilizer on Yield and Yield Components of Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.). International Journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research. 2015;3(1): 138- 143.
 15. Al-Fahdawi AJJ, Allawi MM. Impact of Biofertilizers and Nano Potassium on Growth and Yield of Eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). Plant Archives. 2019;19(Supplement 2):1809-1815.
 16. Martínez AS, Sánchez E, Licón-Trillo LP, Pérez-Álvarez S, Palacio-Márquez A, Amaya-Olivas NI, Preciado-Rangel P. Impact of the foliar application of magnesium nano fertilizer on physiological and biochemical parameters and yield in green beans. Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj- Napoca. 2020;48 (4):2167-2181.
 17. Sohair EED, Abdall A, Hossain H. Evaluation of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium Nano-Fertilizers on Yield, Yield Components and Fiber Properties of Egyptian Cotton (*Gossypium Barbadense* L.) Journal of Plant Sciences and Crop Protection; 2018. ISSN: 2639-3336.
 18. Kazem AT, Issa FH, Abdulla AA. Effect of Nano NPK Fertilizer on Growth and Early Yield of Eggplant. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. 2021; 923:012013

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121797>